PHTHALATES AS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINANTS IN FOOD

David N Mortimer
Chemical Safety Division
Food Standards Agency



What are phthalates?

Substances used predominantly as plasticizers (plus adhesives, caulk, nail polish, paint etc): ca. 400 ktpa

Phthalate structure

- Are they POPs?
- Widespread in the environment
- Biodegradable (soil/water $t_{1/2}$ days weeks)
- Some persistence, but limited

\Box	ים	_	\sim		\sim		
Κ,	K	_	U	Пα	 C_{10}	П	าว
				J	10		- 1

Phthalate	Study	Endpoint	Value*
Di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP)	Developmental tox in rats	Germ cell development	2 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹
Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP)	Developmental/ testicular tox in rats	Sperm conc'n AGD (F1, F2)	20 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹ 50 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹
Bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP)	Developmental/ testicular tox in rats	Germ cell depletion Reduced testis weight	5 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹ 5 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹
Diisononyl phthalate (DiNP)	Liver/ kidney tox in rats	Spongiosis hepatis	15 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹
Diisodecyl phthalate (DiDP)	Liver tox in dogs Developmental tox in rats	Microscopic lesions F2 offspring survival ↓	15 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹ 30 mg/kg BWd ⁻¹

^{*} all NOAEL except DBP (LOAEL)

DEHP Log K_{ow} 5 – 8 (true value probably ca. 7.5)

- Bioaccumulation Factors
- mussels ca. 2,500 (28d @ 4-42 μg/l)
- freshwater lamprey 10,600 (27d @ 1 μg/l)
- snail 17,500 (27d @ 1 μg/l)



Occurrence in food - history

- Investigations by MAFF in the 1990s
 - Packaging 1995
 - Total Diet Study 1996
 - Levels in Infant Formulae 1996, 1998
- No health concerns raised
- Moved to Food Contact Materials remit (low priority)
- 2005 EFSA AFC opinion on phthalates as FCM (looked at all sources) – exposure to DBP and DEHP may be in range of TDI (limited data); restrictions on use of 5 phthalates as:
 - plasticizers (ban/SML)
 - technical support agents (SML)(Commission Directive 2007/19/EC)

CONTAM reviewed, concluded need for more data March 2007

Phthalate issue to Environmental Contaminants WG

- Need for analytical capability survey
- Need for more data





FSA phthalate project

- Commissioned February 2008
 - 1. Develop and validate methods for phthalate diesters, monoesters and total phthalate in 3 food matrix types (fat / carb / protein)
 - Cheese (high fat)
 - Orange juice (high carbohydrate)
 - Pork mince (high protein)
 - 2. Measure levels in Total Diet Study samples (2007)
 - 3. Investigate means of distinguishing sources
 - 4. 'Snapshot' survey of levels in individual foods

Compounds measured (based on standards available)

Dimethyl phthalate (DMP) Diethyl phthalate (DEP) Diisopropyl phthalate (DiPP) Diallyl phthalate (DAP) Diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) Di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) Di-n-pentyl phthalate (DPP) Di-n-hexyl phthalate (DHexP) Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP) Dicyclohexyl phthalate (DCHP)	Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) Di-n-heptyl phthalate (DHepP) Di-n-octyl phthalate (DOP) n-Octyl-n-decyl phthalate (nOnDP) Diisononyl phthalate (DiNP) Diisodecyl phthalate (DiDP) Di-n-decyl phthalate (DDP)	Monoisopropyl phthalate (MiPP) Monoisobutyl phthalate (MiBP) Mono-n-butyl phthalate (MBP) Monobenzyl phthalate (MBnzP) Monocyclohexyl phthalate (MCHP) Mono-n-pentyl phthalate (MPP) Mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP) Mono-n-octyl phthalate (MOP) Monoisononyl phthalate (MiNP)
--	--	--

Methodology

- 1. Extraction into MeCN/DCM, evaporation to dryness
- 2. Uptake into MeCN (no further cleanup)
- 3. Analysis by GC-MS (40-450 amu)
- 4. (For total as DMP, extract treated with MeOH/KOH and BF₃/EtOEt then SEC)



Total Diet Study – results (µg/kg)

Purple = confirmed results; red = unconfirmed; tr = <LOQ

Food group	DEP	DiBP	DBP	ВВР	DEHP	DCHP	MBP	MEHP	PA	Number +ve	Total phthalate*
Bread	-	16.6	16.2	8.1	124.6	-	tr	-	27.0	5	187
Cereals	13.4	80.8	13.8	tr	104.5	-	tr	-	140.0	5	340
Carcase meat	-	5.3	6.3	-	89.7	-	29.0	-	36.0	5	116
Offal	-	6.4	-	-	tr	98.1	-	-	-	2	144
Meat products	-	18.0	15.4	-	329.1	-	32.0	tr	-	4	163
Poultry	-	-	-	-	322.1	-	tr	54.0	130.0	2	177
Fish	-	17.6	9.3	-	789.1	7.1	52.0	tr	-	5	206
Oils and fats	-	41.3	16.0	-	105.5	-	tr	-	36.0	4	90
Eggs	-	tr	tr	-	tr	-	tr	-	35.0	1	102
Sugar & preserves	-	tr	6.7	-	115.6	-	-	-	110.0	3	67
Green vegetables	51.8	-	tr	-	tr	-	tr	-	89.0	2	202
Potatoes	-	tr	tr	-	tr	-	-	-	84.0	1	163
Other vegetables	-	8.9	3.5	-	34.8	-	-	-	99.0	4	206
Canned vegetables	-	tr	tr	-	tr	-	tr	-	84.0	1	162
Fresh fruit	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	tr	-	150.0	1	90
Fruit products	-	-	tr	-	tr	-	tr	-	190.0	1	361
Beverages	-	-	2.4	-	tr	-	-	-	-	1	53
Milk	-	tr	tr	-	tr	-	-	-	66.0	1	74
Dairy products	-	tr	tr	-	140.7	-	tr	-	360.0	2	157
Nuts	tr	49.0	28.3	-	111.8	-	tr	-	270.0	4	479
Number +ve	2	9	10	1	11	2	3	-	16		

^{*} Measured as dimethyl phthalate



Total Diet Study – exposure estimates

Food group	Estim	Estimated <i>upper bound</i> <u>average</u> adult dietary exposure (microgram/kg bodyweight/day) to phthalates from the whole diet in 2007								
Chemical:	DEP	DiBP	DBP	ВВР	DEHP	DCHP	DiNP	DiDP	PA	MBP
TDI	-	-	10	500	50	-	150	150	-	-
Senior citizens										
- living at home	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.5	0.1	3.3	7.7	0.003	0.4
- in institutions	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	1.3	0.08	3.2	7.5	0.003	0.3
Adults	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.3	2.3	0.1	4.7	10.8	0.004	0.6
Schoolchildren:										
age - 4-6 years	0.4	1.1	0.5	0.6	4.2	0.3	10.3	24.0	0.007	1.0
age - 7-10 years	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.4	3.2	0.2	7.5	17.7	0.006	0.8
age - 11-14 years	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.3	2.3	0.1	4.9	11.9	0.004	0.5
age - 15-18 years	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.9	0.1	3.9	9.3	0.003	0.5
Toddlers:										
age 1.5-2.5	0.5	1.4	0.6	0.8	5.2	0.4	17.4	39.6	0.01	1.4
age 2.5-3.5	0.5	1.4	0.6	0.7	4.7	0.3	14.3	33.5	0.01	1.3
age 3.5-4.5	0.4	1.2	0.5	0.6	4.3	0.3	12.1	28.5	0.009	1.1

- COT statement (published May 2011):
 - Endorsed EFSA TDIs
 - Noted no significant new evidence
 - Exposure to individual phthalates well below TDIs
 - Hydrolysis to monoesters unlikely to increase risk
 - No basis for relative potency / Hazard Index approach
 - Very conservative approach based on total dietary exposure, no concern
 - Future assessment should consider non-dietary exposure



Source investigation (pilot)

Three-part approach

- 1. What phthalates are present in packaging?
- 2. How do profiles in food and packaging compare?
- 3. Is there a concentration profile in the food

29 packaged foods purchased – packaging screened for phthalates Results >LOQ found in only 9 samples

Foodstuff	Туре	Packaging size	Food amount	DMP	DEP	DiBP	DnBP	DEHP	DiNP	DiDP
Tomato relish	Glass jar/gasket	0.301 g	310 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	5.2 mg/kg	< LOQ	2.8 mg/kg	10.9 mg/ kg	3.9 mg/ kg
Strawberry yoghurt	Plastic pot / foil lid	4.06 dm ²	500 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	146.5 µg/ dm²	< LOQ
Fruit drink	Paper / foil / plastic laminate	3.0 dm ²	288 ml	< LOQ	< LOQ	10.5 μg/ dm²	1.9 μg/ dm²	4.0 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	< LOQ
Southern fried chicken breast	Plastic bag	11.0 dm ²	380 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	4.0 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	10.5 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	< LOQ
Ham and cheese wrap	Plastic bag / cartonboard	4.37 dm ²	100 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	6.8 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	< LOQ
Crispbreads	Plastic liner in cartonboard box	10.8 dm ²	150 g	1.1 μg/ dm²	1.6 μg/ dm²	80.3 μg/ dm²	14.4 µg/ dm²	51.5 μg/ dm²	13.1 μg/ dm²	< LOQ
Lasagne sheets	Cartonboard box / plastic window	6.75 dm ²	500 g	1.2 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	147.1 µg/ dm²	3.9 μg/ dm²	18.3 µg/ dm²	< LOQ	< LOQ
Tofu	Foil-lined pouch	3.15 dm ²	349 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	8.4 μg/ dm²	1.6 μg/ dm²	2.2 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	< LOQ
Sage and onion stuffing	Plastic liner in cartonboard box	6.41 dm ²	100 g	< LOQ	< LOQ	15.1 μg/ dm²	2.5 μg/ dm²	14.4 μg/ dm²	< LOQ	ll ooF

food.gov.uk

Source investigation (pilot)

Calculated levels in food (assume 100% migration)

Foodstuff	DMP	DEP	DiBP	DnBP	DEHP	DiNP	DiDP
Tomato relish	< LOQ	< LOQ	5 μg/kg	< LOQ	3 µg/kg	11 µg/kg	4 µg/kg
Strawberry yoghurt	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	1200 µg/kg	< LOQ
Fruit drink	< LOQ	< LOQ	109 μg/kg	20 μg/kg	40 μg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ
Southern fried chicken breast	< LOQ	< LOQ	116 µg/kg	< LOQ	304 µg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ
Ham and cheese wrap	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	212 µg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ
Crispbreads	43 µg/kg	63 µg/kg	3139 µg/kg	563 µg/kg	2013 μg/kg	512 μg/kg	< LOQ
Lasagne sheets	16 μg/kg	< LOQ	1961 µg/kg	52 μg/kg	244 μg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ
Tofu	< LOQ	< LOQ	76 μg/kg	14 μg/kg	20 μg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ
Sage and onion stuffing	< LOQ	< LOQ	967 μg/kg	160 µg/kg	922 μg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ

Lasagne sheets selected for concentration gradient study (3 packs purchased) Only DiBP measurable in all samples

	Layer 1 0-0.3 cm from packaging	Layer 2 0.3-0.6 cm from packaging	Layer 3 2.0–2.3 cm from packaging
Sample 1	223 µg/kg	105µg/kg	86µg/kg
Sample 2	259	111	35
Sample 3	206	104	63



Food survey

- 261 retail samples based partly on TDS outcome
- High background, very variable LOD/LOQs
- 73 samples at least one confirmed detection
- 62 samples at least one unconfirmed detection

Food Survey Summary

Food type	Number +ve	Total	Rate	Range (µg/kg)
Baby food	3	5	60%	21.6 - 273
Cereals	28	40	70%	11.1 – 3,560
Dairy	13	19	68%	25.8 – 2,590
Fats & oils	11	17	65%	15.6 – 8,640
Fish	37	69	54%	1.5 – 2,780
Fish liver	1	2	50%	242.2
Infant formula	5	16	31%	13.1 – 208
Liver	3	11	27%	36.3 - 83.7
Meat	29	66	44%	6 – 1,880
Shellfish	5	5	100%	20.4 - 300

Detection Frequency

	All	Confirmed
DEHP	68	64
DiBP	38	12
DBP	24	5



Conclusions

- Analysis challenging due to high background levels
- Total Diet Study does not indicate a cause for concern
- Animal products and processed bread and cereals main sources
- Distinguishing origins of contamination possible but difficult to fingerprint
- Packaging sources can be proven, environmental more difficult (plus intermediate contamination during transport & processing)
- DEHP is the most commonly-occurring phthalate in food (then DiBP and DnBP)
- Levels within food groups are very variable

Next steps

- No further research for now (other priorities)
- Await discussions in Brussels
- Limits probably unnecessary BUT may be needed as a risk-management tool (Taiwan incident 2011)



Thank you Questions?

Contact details

David.mortimer@foodstandards.gsi.gov.uk

Tel. 020 7276 8731 Mob. 07884 473009

