THE UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

CODE OF PRACTICE ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED PROCEDURAL MATTERS

THE UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

CODE OF PRACTICE ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Introduction | 4 |
| Principles of Governance and Management | 4 |
| Powers and Membership of Council | 5 |
| Role of the Vice-Chancellor | 6 |
| Role of the Registrar and Secretary | 6 |
| Duties and Responsibilities of Members of Council | 7 |
| Conflicts of Interest for Members of Council | 8 |
| Register of Interests | 8 |
| Meetings of Council | 9 |
| Senate | 9 |
| Council Committees | 10 |
| Conduct of Members of Committees | 10 |
| Delegation of Powers of Council | 11 |
| Council's Relationship to Other Bodies | 11 |
| Use of the University Title | 11 |
| Reviews of Council's Effectiveness | 12 |
| Code of Practice on Public Interest Disclosure (including "Whistleblowing") | 12 |
| Procedures for Handling Complaints and Grievances | 12 |
| Identification, Reporting and Investigation of Suspected or Alleged Fraud and Financial Irregularities | 13 |
| Procedure for suspension of University legislation in the event of an emergency | 13 |
| Standing Orders | 14 |
| University Code of Ethics | 14 |

Appendices

| Appendix A List of Related Documentation and Further Reading | 15 |
|---|----|
| Appendix B Scheme of Delegated Powers of Council | 16 |
| Appendix C Policy and Procedure on Public Interest Disclosure and "Whistleblowing" | 28 |
| Appendix D Procedure for Consideration of Grievances submitted to the Council | 36 |
| Appendix E University Anti-Fraud Policy Statement and Fraud Response Policy | 45 |
| Appendix F Council Standing Orders | 53 |
| Appendix G Procedure for Suspension or Removal of Council or Committee Membership | 58 |
| Appendix H University Code of Ethics | 61 |

CODE OF PRACTICE ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED PROCEDURAL MATTERS

INTRODUCTION

 This Code of Practice offers a summary of governance-related matters and should be read as appropriate in conjunction with the documents listed in Appendix A. In updating this Code, the Council has had regard for the guidance set out in the CUC Higher Education Code of Governance.

PRINCIPLES OF GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

- 2. The Council recognises that there are clear distinctions between governance and management. However, an overriding aim of both governance and management in the University of Birmingham is the preservation of academic freedom within the law, combined with academic responsibility.
- 3. A significant part of Council's role as the University's governing body is to define policies and set objectives; this includes the setting of objectives for the securing of resources, the appointment of senior staff sufficient to meet the objectives and the monitoring of progress towards those objectives. Within this context, Council members need to be satisfied that processes and procedures are in place which are sufficient, necessary and effective in running the business of the University. They do this by asking relevant, probing, searching questions and ensuring responses are sound, confident and consistent, rather than doing direct checking themselves. Neither the Chair of Council nor individual lay members should become involved in the day to day executive management of the University.
- 4. Management involves advising the governing body about policies and objectives, devising means of meeting the agreed objectives and being held accountable for implementing the policies of the governing body. Effective management, and good governance, are underpinned by the following ten key principles:
 - (a) the governing body is the ultimate decision-making body;
 - (b) the governing body is accountable for the financial health of the institution;
 - (c) roles and responsibilities of the governing body, committees and senior staff, should be defined, understood, accepted and regularly reviewed;
 - (d) competencies of the governing body, committees and senior staff should be in line with needs and should be regularly reviewed;
 - (e) the institution should have a strategic plan and an annual budget;
 - (f) the strategic plan should be supported by a financial strategy;
 - (g) risks should be recognised, assessed and managed;
 - (h) management information should be relevant, reliable and timely;
 - (i) communication should be effective throughout the institution;
 - (j) systems should be in place which are robust and fit for purpose.

5. The actual powers of the Council are set out in the Charter and Statutes of the University. Article 7 of the University's Charter states:

"The supreme governing body of the University shall be the Council and, subject to this Charter, the Statutes of the University and the Law of the Realm, the Council shall have absolute power within the University and shall have the government and control of the finances of the University, and of the good order, practical affairs, business and work of the University.

Statutes of the University shall regulate the performance of the duties of the Council, the election and continuance in office of the members of the Council and delegation of the Council's powers and all other matters relative to the Council which it may be thought are proper to be so regulated."

6. The Council's terms of reference and powers are summarised in Section 9 of the University's Statutes (see Appendix B).

The primary responsibilities of Council in the CUC Code include:

- 1. To approve the mission and strategic vision of the University, long-term academic and business plans and key performance indicators, and to ensure that these meet the interests of stakeholders.
- 2. To ensure that processes are in place to monitor and evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the University against the strategy, plans and approved key performance indicators, which should be, where possible and appropriate, benchmarked against other comparable institutions.
- 3. To ensure the establishment and monitoring of systems of control and accountability, including financial and operational controls, risk assessment, value for money arrangements, and procedures for handling internal grievances and for managing conflicts of interest.
- 4. To delegate authority to the Vice-Chancellor and Principal, as head of the University, for the academic, corporate, financial, estate and human resource management of the University and to keep under regular review how such management functions are undertaken by and under the authority of the Vice-Chancellor and Principal.
- 5. To safeguard the good name and values of the University.
- 6. To be the employing authority for all staff in the University and to be accountable for ensuring that an appropriate human resources strategy is established.
- 7. To be the principal financial and business authority of the University, to ensure that proper books of account are kept, to approve the annual budget and financial statements, and to have overall accountability for the University's assets, property and estate.
- 8. To be the University's legal authority and, as such, to ensure that systems are in place for meeting all the University's legal obligations, including those arising from contracts and other legal commitments made in the institution's name. This includes accountability for health, safety and security and for equality, diversity and inclusion.

- 9. To receive assurance that adequate provision has been made for the general welfare of students.
- 7. Both its members, and the University's executive officers, are agreed that Council should primarily operate in a role similar to that of 'trustee', and that the scope of its membership facilitates that role. The Council considers that its sub-committee structure provides a suitable basis for involving Council members in the more detailed consideration of strategic and operational matters.
- 8. The constitution of Council is prescribed fully in Section 8 of the University's Statutes. Council's total membership is 24, two-thirds of whom are 'lay' (i.e. a person who is neither a student nor a member of staff of the University and, where this has been the case, has ceased to be so for not less than 36 months). Class I of Council consists of the Vice-Chancellor and Principal, and the Provost and Vice-Principal. Class II consists of four Academic Staff Members elected by and from the Academic Staff Members of the Senate. Class III consists of the President and one other student officer of the Guild of the Students nominated by the Guild of Students in a manner that it determines, where normally either the President or the second officer is a postgraduate of the University. Finally, Class IV consists of sixteen lay members.
- 9. Section 8 of the Statutes additionally makes clear that continuous service on the Council beyond two terms of four years is not possible, and after this point members should normally retire to permit the Council to appoint new members. The Council has delegated to its Membership Committee responsibility for advising Council about Council membership rotation and succession planning.

ROLE OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR

- 10. The Vice-Chancellor is, in effect, the chief executive of the University. The Vice-Chancellor has overall responsibility for the executive management of the institution and for its day-to-day direction, and is accountable to the Council for the exercise of these responsibilities. They are designated as the principal officer of the institution. As such, they are responsible for ensuring that the institution complies with the terms and conditions specified by the Office for Students (OfS) for the use of OfS funds and may be called to give evidence before the Public Accounts Committee. The Vice-Chancellor also chairs the Senate.
- 11. The Vice-Chancellor is assisted by the Provost and Vice-Principal and by the Pro-Vice-Chancellors. Whilst they hold office, the Pro-Vice-Chancellors will normally continue to carry out some academic duties within their School or department.

ROLE OF THE REGISTRAR AND SECRETARY

- 12. The Registrar and Secretary has two roles:
 - a. that of Secretary to the Council and to all University bodies and committees.
 - b. as an executive member of the University's senior management team.
- 13. The Registrar and Secretary is the most senior member of the University's administrative staff and is responsible for all Professional Services. Although the Registrar and Secretary has a managerial reporting line to the Vice-Chancellor as Chief Executive and Accountable Officer and is answerable to the Vice-Chancellor for their administrative and management responsibilities, it is clearly understood that the role of the Registrar and Secretary involves responsibilities to the Council itself as the governing body of the University and its chair. The Council will expect the Registrar

and Secretary or, through them, their officers, to advise the Council on relevant issues arising in the use of public funds, accountability and legal matters, including exceptional cases where the propriety of the actions of any University officer may be in question.

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

14. Council has approved the following statement of duties and responsibilities of members of the Council:

"The University was created by Royal Charter which provides that the Council shall be the University's supreme governing body.

The full details of the Council's powers and constitution are set out in the Charter and Statutes of the University. Council is the supreme governing body of the University. It is the employing body and is responsible for its overall organisational structure and for its finances, property, investments and business. The Senate is responsible to the Council for regulating and directing the academic work of the University in teaching and research, including the awarding of degrees.

The University is an educational charitable and chartered corporation, exempt under the terms of the Charities Act 2006 (now consolidated into the Charities Act 2011). Under the terms of the Act the Office for Students acts as the Principal Regulator. The members of the Council as individuals are the managing trustees of that charity, although they are not in strict legal sense trustees.

As managing trustees members of Council have a duty to ensure that the University restricts itself to whatever may be reasonably necessary to carry out its duties of teaching, examining and research. Members of Council as individuals are personally responsible for any breach of trust, which includes wilfully exceeding their powers or spending University funds on something outside the University's charitable objects. The Audit Committee of Council will, of course, act vigorously to ensure that the University's spending of funds will be in strict compliance with these charitable objects. It is perhaps worth noting that the University's objects are limited and do not include general benevolent purposes with a strong individual appeal (such as, for example, the relief of famine in Africa).

Members of Council as 'trustees' must at all times act in the best interests of the University and within the scope of their powers. Provided that they do so, as individuals, members will be indemnified by the University against the results of their actions or decisions. Members of Council will not be held personally liable for debt in the unlikely event of the University's becoming financially insolvent.

A member of Council may be suspended or removed in accordance with the procedure set out at Appendix G. The member suspended or removed may be replaced with a person of the same Class or category of membership in line with the normal procedure for filling a vacancy in that Class or category.

This is a general note which you may find helpful but for more detailed information on any particular aspect of the work either of the Council or the University you should refer to the Registrar and Secretary of the University. One document which may be of particular interest to Council members is the 'Manual of Financial Rules' which can be accessed via the University website (see Appendix A). Council members may also use the website to obtain information on administrative policies and procedures, or by contacting the Registrar and Secretary.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

15. The Council has approved the following statements in respect of conflicts of interest for all members of the Council and for staff of the University:

It is important that all members of the Council of the University take due cognisance of any conflict of interest position which might arise from their membership of the Council (or other University body) on the one hand and membership or connection with other bodies outside the University on the other. The following is a set of simple steps which members of Council and others referred to in the final paragraph are asked to follow.

The Registrar and Secretary will maintain, and make available for public inspection if necessary, a Register of Members' Interests in which any third party pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests may be registered if the member considers that a conflict of interest could arise, or be perceived to arise. Annually, the Registrar and Secretary will seek from all members of Council and the University's senior administrative officers, confirmation of their current interests. All members must respond, whether or not they have any interest to record.

Members of Council should also bear in mind the need for them to act with care in relation to hospitality or gifts which could be perceived as inducements by persons or bodies seeking to supply goods or services to the University. Similarly, members should take care not to solicit or recommend any person for employment by the University or admission to it other than through the proper procedures, and should disclose if a candidate is related to them by family when providing testimonials of a candidate's ability, experience or character.

The above guidance applies equally to a committee, sub-committee, joint committee, board of trustees or board of directors belonging to the University or appointed in whole or part by it, and to the members of such bodies. Matters concerning conflicts of interest in relation to members of staff of the University generally are covered in the relevant terms and conditions of employment.

REGISTER OF INTERESTS

- 16. The Registrar and Secretary and the University shall annually invite all members of Council to declare their interests, any related party transactions and any suspected breaches of law and regulations or instances of fraud. The Registrar and Secretary shall keep the Register of Members' Interests and the other returns, which shall be available for inspection to any *bona fide* enquirer on demonstration of good cause at the discretion of the Pro-Chancellor and Chair of Council and the Registrar and Secretary. In addition to members of Council, these declarations are also completed by members of UEB and other Council committees, any board of trustees, or board of directors belonging to the University or appointed in whole or in part by it, and members of the University's wider leadership team, including Senior Officers and Heads of Schools. The information is collected via an annual declaration form.
- 17. It is open to any member of Council to nominate a class of interests or membership of organisations for inclusion in members' annual declarations of interests.
- 18. A member who has a direct or indirect interest in an item on the agenda shall declare that interest as soon as practicable after the commencement of the item, and must do so before addressing the meeting. They should then judge whether it is appropriate to take part in the consideration or discussion of the matter or to vote on any question

with respect to it, and whether it is appropriate to leave the meeting during the consideration of the relevant matter.

Where a member declares an interest, but decides not to withdraw, or where an interest is not declared, notwithstanding it being entered in the Register of Members' Interests, the Pro-Chancellor may remind Council that the member has an interest and may, with the approval of Council, require the member concerned to withdraw until consideration of the matter has been completed.

A member is not, however, considered to have a pecuniary or personal interest in matters under discussion merely because they are a member of staff or a student of the institution. Nor does the restriction on involvement in matters of direct personal or pecuniary interest prevent members of the Council from considering and voting on proposals to insure the Council against liabilities which it might incur.

MEETINGS OF COUNCIL

- 19. The quorum for meetings of Council shall be one-third of the current members (rounded up to the nearest whole number) provided always that there is a majority of the lay members present.
- 20. Other than the Registrar and Secretary and the Head of Governance, the only members of the University who should be regularly in attendance at meetings of the Council are the Pro-Vice-Chancellors, the Chief Financial Officer, the Heads of Colleges and Chief of Staff. On the invitation of the Pro-Chancellor and Chair of Council and the advice of the Registrar and Secretary, other appropriate senior University administrative officers shall attend meetings of Council and/or of appropriate committees of the Council where the nature of the business, or particular items of business, require their professional or specialist advice.
- 21. The University of Birmingham has a commitment to the principles of openness and transparency. Access to information in the papers and minutes of meetings of Council is as described in the University's Publication Scheme (as required under the Freedom of Information Act 2000) which can be consulted on the University's website or obtained by written request to the University. Requests for any other information relating to the Council and its business which is not currently made available as described should be submitted in writing to the University's Information Compliance Manager, Legal Services. The University may refuse to supply certain information such as that provided in confidence, personal information, information that may harm the commercial interests of the University, or information falling within other exempt areas as defined in the Act.

SENATE

22. Senate is chaired by the Vice-Chancellor and Principal and has 56 further members, including the Provost and Vice-Principal, Pro-Vice-Chancellors, Heads of Colleges, College and student representatives. The Charter of the University states that:

'There shall be a Senate of the University which shall subject to this Charter and in accordance with the Ordinances of the University be responsible to the Council for regulating and directing the academic work of the University in teaching, examining and research and for the award of all Degrees, Diplomas, Certificates and other academic distinctions of the University and for the discipline of the students of the University.'

Typical business for Senate includes:

- discussion of education policy matters;
- oversight and maintenance of academic standards, including via the work of its sub-committees;
- commenting on amendments to the University Statutes and Senate-related Ordinances;
- award of Honorary Degrees.

COUNCIL COMMITTEES

- 23. The Committees of Council are:
 - Strategy, Planning and Resources Committee;
 - Audit Committee;
 - Membership Committee;
 - Remuneration Committee.

The terms of reference, constitution, membership, reporting relationship, secretaryship, and list of attendance of University officers at Council, Senate and other University committees are set out in the University's website.

- 24. The terms of reference of the Membership Committee are set out in Ordinance (Ordinance 2.15) as required by Section 8 of the Statutes and, with the exception of the Senate whose constitution and powers are set out in Sections 2.9 and 2.10 of the Ordinances, they include a duty to review annually on behalf of Council the establishment, terms of reference, constitution, composition and membership of Council's committees, and to make recommendations annually to Council for their continuation or amendment where applicable in consultation with the chairs of Council committees. The membership and terms of reference of the Membership Committee are reviewed on an annual basis.
- 25. Written reports, with decisions or recommendations, will be made by these committees via the appropriate reporting relationships to Council.

CONDUCT OF MEMBERS OF COMMITTEES

- 26. Members of all University committees are expected to:
 - bring their knowledge and expertise to the committee;
 - see issues broadly whilst members may be elected by a constituency, they are not mandated to act on its behalf but must act in the interests of the University;
 - read papers;
 - contribute constructively to discussion, avoiding rude or offensive behaviour;
 - keep in mind the University's overall interest;
 - take personal responsibility for papers and ensure that their confidentiality is maintained unless they are expressly stated to be for wider or public dissemination;
 - uphold the principle of collective responsibility whereby all decisions are taken by
 all the members acting collectively; decisions need not be unanimous (unless
 otherwise stipulated in University Legislation)- a majority decision is sufficient but each member of the committee is equally responsible for the decisions taken
 and should fully support and abide by the committee's decisions.
- 27. Members of all University committees are expected to observe the seven principles of public life identified by the Committee on Standards in Public Life (the Nolan Committee): selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty, leadership.

- 28. Members of Council have additional responsibilities as charitable trustees. More detailed guidance on Council members' role as a trustee can be found in the Charity Commission guidance called "The Essential Trustee: What you need to know" which can be accessed via the Charity Commission's website (http://www.charitycommission.gov.uk/publications/cc3.aspx).
- 29. A member of any committee or any sub-committee of the University may be suspended or removed in accordance with the procedure set out at Appendix G. The member suspended or removed may be replaced with a person of the same Class or category of membership in line with the normal procedure for filling a vacancy in that Class or category.

DELEGATION OF POWERS OF COUNCIL

- 30. Subject to periodic reports to Council, the Council has delegated powers to certain of its major committees and officers of the University as follows. These are laid out in a Scheme of Delegation and are attached as Appendix B.
- 31. The Pro-Chancellor or, in their absence, a Deputy Pro-Chancellor, shall have authority to act on behalf of the Council between meetings of the Council and shall report any such action to the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council. Council may also make arrangements for other members of Council to take action on its behalf.
- 32. The Registrar and Secretary is responsible for operating this procedure and for submitting reports at the next available meeting of Council concerning action taken on its behalf via this procedure.

COUNCIL'S RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER BODIES

33. The Council's duties, responsibilities and powers in relation to University companies, Trustees of the University of Birmingham Pension and Assurance Scheme, and the Guild of Students, have each been defined and approved by Council in various Memoranda of Understanding. Members of Council and members of the University may seek copies of these documents from the Registrar and Secretary.

USE OF THE UNIVERSITY TITLE

- 34. "The University of Birmingham" and "Birmingham University" are registered legal titles. Authority to use the titles rests solely with Council. The purpose of registering the titles was, *inter alia*, to ensure that they could not be used for purposes which might bring the good name of the University into disrepute, or for the promotion of activities which were in conflict with the University's own objectives. Council has adopted a policy that the use of the "The University of Birmingham" or "Birmingham University" titles shall be restricted to organisations whose prime objectives are either:
 - (i) the promotion and advancement of the University; or
 - (ii) the provision of services or benefits to past or present members of the University.
- 35. In ensuring that the above conditions are met, Council expects organisations seeking to use the University titles to be prepared to make a copy of their constitutions available for its inspection. The Registrar and Secretary advises on use of the University title on behalf of Council.

REVIEWS OF THE COUNCIL'S EFFECTIVENESS

36. The Council reviews its own effectiveness once every three years. All such reviews are led by a Review Panel but are distinct from Council's responsibilities to review the University's effectiveness. The last effectiveness review reported in June 2020.

<u>CODE OF PRACTICE ON PUBLIC INTEREST DISCLOSURE (INCLUDING</u> "WHISTLEBLOWING")

37. The Council has adopted a Code of Practice on Public Interest Disclosure, as set out at Appendix C.

PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING COMPLAINTS AND GRIEVANCES

- 38. Members of staff, by virtue of their contracts, and students and other members of the University, have a right, under the Charter and Statutes, to grieve to the Council. As noted in paragraph 7, Section 9.4(o) of the Statutes gives Council power:
 - '(o) To entertain, adjudicate upon and if thought fit redress the grievances of:
 - (i) Staff of the University who may for any reason feel aggrieved, except where a member of Staff has followed a procedure which culminates in a review by a committee of Council;
 - (ii) Registered Students who raise a grievance concerning an issue or issues which, in the opinion of the Registrar and Secretary, concerns matters of University governance, and who have exhausted other internal dispute resolution procedures.'

This power is subject to Section 14 of the Statutes which provides *inter alia* a formal grievance procedure for academic and related staff.

- 39. The procedures for handling grievances by members of staff in employment matters are therefore set out in the relevant contracts of employment, issued by authority of the Council.
- 40. The one exception to paragraph 40 relates to a Vice-Chancellor and Principal's contract of employment. This contains a protocol agreed by Council and the Vice-Chancellor and Principal on what should happen in the unlikely event of the latter raising a grievance against Council or any of its lay officers either jointly or severally which cannot be resolved informally. The protocol exists because of the Vice-Chancellor and Principal's role in administering the later, more formal, stages of the grievance procedure set out in Section 14 of the Statutes, and because Council would be the subject of the grievance and thus may not examine such a grievance. The protocol requires the Vice-Chancellor and Principal to refer the grievance to the Chancellor who will consider the matter in a committee with another lay member of Council not previously involved in the subject of the grievance and one professorial member of the Senate. The protocol commits both the Vice-Chancellor and Principal and the Council to accept the decision reached by the Chancellor's committee concerning the grievance.
- 41. As regards students and other members of the University, the procedures set out in the University's Complaints Procedure shall apply for all complaints except in the following cases where separate arrangements apply:
 - Racial or Sexual Harassment
 - Appeals against academic assessment and progress decisions
 - Appeals against disciplinary or other penalties
 - Claims in respect of personal injury or damage to property

- Complaints concerning the Guild of Students
- Complaints concerning businesses operating on University premises, but not owned by the University
- 42. The procedure for considering grievances is set out in Ordinance 3.27 (Appendix D), the use of which may be permitted only after the various arrangements mentioned in paragraph 39 have been exhausted.
 - Council will only consider a grievance from a student concerning an issue or issues which, in the opinion of the Registrar and Secretary, raises matters of University governance, and where the student has exhausted other internal dispute resolution procedures. Opportunities exist for students to appeal under the aegis of Senate.
- 43. After all internal procedures have been exhausted, members of staff may have recourse to the Courts including the employment tribunal system in relation to employment issues, whilst student complaints and appeals may be referred to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (except those relating to admissions or matters of academic judgement) and / or the Courts. The Visitor's jurisdiction is now limited to adjudicating on the interpretation and application of the institution's Charter, Statutes, Ordinances etc, provided the point at issue is neither a student complaint or an employment dispute. The Visitor is the King through the Lord President of the (Privy) Council.

<u>IDENTIFICATION</u>, <u>REPORTING AND INVESTIGATION OF SUSPECTED OR ALLEGED FRAUD AND FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES</u>

- 44. It is the duty of all members of staff to report any actual or suspected incidents of fraud or irregularity. The University has:
 - an Anti-Fraud Policy Statement which confirms the University's culture towards fraud, clarifies our definition of fraud, documents key responsibilities and activities that support our approach to managing fraud risk, and references relevant policies that form part of this wider review.
 - b. a Fraud Response Policy which defines responsibilities for action and reporting lines in the event of a suspected fraud or irregularity.

These documents are attached as Appendix E.

PROCEDURE FOR SUSPENSION OF UNIVERSITY LEGISLATION IN THE EVENT OF AN EMERGENCY

- 45. The Council has adopted the following procedure for suspension of University legislation in the event of an emergency. An emergency is defined as: 'An unplanned disruption to normal University operations, teaching and research practices that requires special plans and procedures to be implemented to minimise disruption and help maintain service delivery'.
- 46. If an emergency is declared by the Strategic Emergency Response Team (SERT) there may be a requirement to suspend some requirements enshrined in University legislation in order to respond appropriately to the crisis.
- 47. If such actions are required, the Registrar and Secretary (i.e. the Convenor of SERT) will recommend to the Vice-Chancellor (or in his absence the Vice-Principal), that agreement be sought from the Pro-Chancellor and Chair of Council (or in their absence a Deputy Pro-Chancellor) to suspend the appropriate University legislation.

48. If neither the Vice-Chancellor nor the Vice-Principal is available, the Registrar and Secretary may consult (as appropriate) with any other member(s) of the University Executive as may be available but ultimately may seek agreement directly from the Pro-Chancellor and Chair of the University Council (or a Deputy Pro-Chancellor) to suspend the appropriate University legislation.

STANDING ORDERS

49. The Council has adopted the Standing Orders attached at Appendix F. These Standing Orders apply to Council and all University Committees, including Senate, and have precedence where there are any differences in other Standing Orders or Regulations.

UNIVERSITY CODE OF ETHICS

50. The University is committed to the highest standards of ethical conduct in all of its activities and has long and well established approaches to ethical review, particularly in matters such as research.

A University Code of Ethics has been approved by the University Council to set out the framework and guiding principles for ethical review for staff, students and members of University committees. It encompasses the four key policy areas of teaching, research and knowledge transfer, fundraising, gifts and finance (investments and procurement). These are core areas of University business and also the most likely areas where ethical issues arise.

The Code is attached at Appendix H and outlines the approach for each of these areas and gives links to more detailed information, including: related policies, procedures, guidance documents, and point of contact for initial enquiries.

If you have any further queries regarding ethical policy in each of the four areas, please contact the senior officer responsible:

Teaching: Professor Deborah Longworth, Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Education)

Research: Professor Rachel O'Reilly, Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research)

Fundraising and Gifts: Gavin Maggs, Director of Development and Alumni Relations

Finance (Investments and Procurement): Erica Conway, Chief Financial Officer

List of Related Documentation and Further Reading

In the following list, readers may prefer to browse the suggested web links rather than seek a copy from the Registrar and Secretary which is the alternative means for obtaining a copy of the listed documents:

Higher Education Code of Governance CUC-HE-Code-of-Governance-publication-final.pdf (universitychairs.ac.uk)

University Legislation (Charter, Statutes, Ordinances, Regulations, and Codes of Practice) http://www.birmingham.ac.uk/university/governance/Legislation/index.aspx

University Students Complaints Procedure https://intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/as/registry/policy/complaint-appeal/index.aspx

University Manual of Financial Rules manual-of-financial-rules.docx (live.com)

The index to the University website can be used to find further information on a wide range of matters, including Schools and administrative structures, policies and procedures.



Scheme of Delegated Powers of Council

1. General Principles

- 1.1 Under the University's legislation, the Council is the University's supreme governing body, responsible for setting the strategic direction and policies governing all aspects of the University's activity. It is responsible for the University's strategic planning, organisational structure, finances, investments and businesses, estates, infrastructure and property, and for the employment of staff.
- 1.2 The purpose of this document is to set out a Scheme of Delegation of Council's powers to its committees or to senior staff or Lay Officers.
- 1.3 Sections 9.4 and 10 of the Statutes list respectively the powers of the Council and those which it may not delegate. These Statutes are set out in the Appendix. Section 10 of the Statutes enables the Council to delegate other powers.
- 1.4 Where a power or function is delegated the relevant committee or officer exercising that power must at all times act in accordance with the University's legislation, its policies and procedures, and within budgetary and legal constraints.
- 1.5 The delegation of power(s) to an individual does not obviate the need for consultation with other colleagues as appropriate.
- 1.6 The Chair of a committee shall have power to act on behalf of the committee or board on any matter requiring an immediate or early decision unless in the opinion of the Chair the nature or timing of the business is such as to justify the summoning of a special meeting. Where the Chair of a committee is in doubt about the course of action s/he should take they shall consult the Registrar and Secretary for advice. Any decision taken by the Chair of the committee or board will be reported to the next meeting of the committee.
- 1.7 Council retains ultimate responsibility for powers that it has delegated it receives reports on actions on key powers exercised on its behalf under delegated authority and may at any time ask for additional reports.
- 1.8 Many of the powers specified in this Scheme of Delegation have been delegated for some time under the University's Ordinances or Financial Rules and Procedures. In this respect the Scheme codifies existing practice. For completeness, this Scheme should be read in conjunction with the University Legislation which outlines the details of a number of delegated powers, the University Manual of Financial Rules, the Terms of Reference of the Committees of Council and Terms and Conditions of Employment.
- 1.9 This Scheme is not intended as an exhaustive list of every last aspect of Council's powers, but it aims to address the salient powers.

2. <u>Delegated Powers</u>

Set out below are the Council powers delegated to senior staff, Lay Officers or Committees, listed under the four principal areas of responsibility of the Council (Organisational Strategy and Governance, Finances, Estates and Infrastructure, Human Resources) in the Statutes.

| | Power | Delegated to |
|------|---|--|
| 1. | Organisational Strategy and Governance | |
| 1.1 | Regulation and direction of the academic work of the University including the conduct, discipline and progress of students | Senate |
| 1.2 | Amendments to Academic and Student-related Regulations (Sections 5-8 of University Regulations) | Senate |
| 1.3 | Collaborative Provision Arrangements i.e. Provision of Higher Education with Others | Scrutiny – Collaborative Provision Sub- Committee Signatory – Provost and Vice-Principal |
| 1.4 | Revocation of (and restoring to) Degrees | Senate |
| 1.5 | Maintenance and promotion of the standards, efficiency and good order of Colleges | Heads of College (subject to the powers of the Senate and Council in the University's Legislation) |
| 1.6 | Review and approval of academic plans and strategies, and setting and monitoring of achievements | UEB (subject to the powers of the Senate and Council in the University's Legislation) |
| 1.7 | Strategic Alliances (except where major implications (e.g. resource) or legal vehicles which require Council's approval are involved) | UEB |
| 1.8 | Approval of University Scholarship and Bursary Schemes | UEB |
| 1.9 | Hearing of Student Grievances (raising issues of governance) | Grievance Committee * |
| 1.10 | Establishment, restructuring or dissolution of Principal Academic Units within Colleges | UEB (subject to the powers of the Council in the University's Legislation) |
| 1.11 | Establishment of University Research Institutes | UEB |

| 1.12 | Monitoring compliance across | UEB has a general responsibility for |
|------|--|---|
| | University with national legislation as it affects University activities: | compliance with legislation. Delegation for specific legislation is to the following: |
| | as it affects Offiversity activities. | specific legislation is to the following. |
| | (i) Employment matters | (i) Director of Human Resources |
| | (ii) Environment, Health & Safety(iii) Data Protection / Freedom of | (ii) Vice-Chancellor (iii) Director of Legal Services |
| | Information | |
| | (iv) Equality Act 2010 (so far as not delegated to others) | (iv) Director of Legal Services |
| | (v) Child Protection(vi) Equality Act 2010 (matters of | (v) Director of Legal Services(vi) Director of Estates (Director of Campus |
| | physical access) | Services for Campus Services funded properties) |
| | (vii) Compliance of buildings with statutory regulations | (vii) Director of Éstates (Director of Campus Services for Campus Services funded properties) |
| | (viii) Public Contracts regulations (ix) Human Tissue Act | (viii) Chief Financial Officer (or nominee) |
| | (ix) Human Tissue Act(x) Animals Scientific ProceduresAct 1986 | (ix) Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research)(x) Registrar and Secretary |
| | (xi) Compliance with Charity Commission guidance on research by HEIs | (xi) Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research) |
| | (xii) Counter-Terrorism and | (xii) Academic Registrar |
| | Security Act 2015/Prevent (xiii) Consumer Protection Law | (xiii) Director of Legal Services |
| 1.13 | Compliance with OfS Terms and Conditions of Funding for Higher Education Institutions | Vice-Chancellor |
| | Monitoring compliance with OfS Regulation | Chief of Staff |
| 1.14 | Approval of Internal Audit Report | Audit Committee |
| 1.15 | Approval of Annual Report on Value for Money | Audit Committee |
| 1.16 | Approval of scope and achievement of internal and external audit | Audit Committee |
| 1.17 | Ensuring effective internal control systems and appropriate risk management | Audit Committee |
| 1.18 | Ensuring efficient and effective operation of University and that value for money is achieved | UEB |
| 1.19 | Review of implications of University risk register, identifying actions to | UEB |

| | mitigate threats and exploit opportunities | |
|------|--|---|
| 1.20 | Reporting on the University Measures of Success | UEB |
| 1.21 | Litigation costs up to £500k | Registrar and Secretary |
| | Litigation costs from £500k and up to £1m | Vice-Chancellor and Principal |
| | Litigation costs of £1m and up to £2.5m | SPRC |
| | Litigation costs of £2.5m and over | Council |
| 1.22 | Custody and use of the seal | Custody – Registrar and Secretary Use – under signature of Member of Council and Registrar and Secretary (or nominee) |
| 1.23 | Memoranda of Understanding between the University and third parties which are not legally binding | Signatory – Provost and Vice-Principal |
| 2. | Finances | |
| 0.4 | Annual of E | Audit Occurring |
| 2.1 | Approval of Financial Regulations | Audit Committee |
| 2.2 | Monitoring of University financial plans | SPRC |
| 2.3 | Ensuring that satisfactory financial systems and controls are in place | Chief Financial Officer |
| 2.4 | Investment Policies and Decisions | Investment Sub-Committee |
| 2.5 | Preparation of University Accounts | Chief Financial Officer |
| 2.6 | Preparation of capital and revenue budgets and financial plans | Chief Financial Officer |
| 2.7 | Liaison with University Bankers | Chief Financial Officer |
| 2.8 | Writing off debts Less than £100,000 More than £100,000 | Chief Financial Officer Deputy Pro-Chancellor (Finance) |
| 2.9 | Approval of loans • Less than £2.5m • £2.5m - £5m • Over £5m | UEBSPRCCouncil |
| 2.10 | Financial approval of undertaking of research or provision of other | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee) |

| | goods and services in return for payment | |
|------|--|---|
| 2.11 | Financial management of Colleges / Professional Services within approved budgets | Heads of College / Registrar and Secretary |
| 2.12 | Authorisation to exploit and manage patents and Intellectual Property rights | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee, usually CEO, University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd.) |
| 2.13 | Authorisation of Licenses (in relation to intellectual property) | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee, usually CEO, University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd.) |
| 2.14 | Authorisation for the University to: | |
| | (1) form a new spinout company, subsidiary company or joint venture to commercialise research/intellectual property in which the University <i>takes a shareholding</i> or equivalent interest – | |
| | (2) acquire or dispose of its shareholding or equivalent interest in such a spinout company, subsidiary company or joint venture – | |
| | Less than £100k | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee, CEO, University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd.) |
| | Between £100k and up to £500k | Chief Financial Officer (subject to report of the approval of the transaction to the next available meeting of UEB) |
| | Between £500k and up to £1m | Jointly Vice-Chancellor (or Provost in absence of the Vice-Chancellor), Pro- Vice-Chancellor (Research) and Chief Financial Officer (subject to report of the approval of the transaction to the next available meeting of UEB) |
| | Between £1m and up to £5m | UEB on the recommendation of the Board of University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd, and where |
| | Between £5m and £10m | appropriate, the Head of College SPRC on the recommendation of UEB, and where appropriate, the Head of College |
| | Over £10m | Council on the recommendation of SPRC |
| 2.15 | Authorisation for the University to form, acquire or dispose of its shareholding or equivalent interest | |

| | in a separate legal entity other than those set out in 2.14 – | |
|------|--|---|
| | Less than £100k Between £100k and up to £500k | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee) Chief Financial Officer (subject to report of the approval of the transaction to the next available meeting of UEB) |
| | Between £500k and up to £1m | Jointly Vice-Chancellor (or Provost in absence of the Vice-Chancellor), and Chief Financial Officer (subject to report of the approval of the transaction to the next available meeting of UEB) |
| | Between £1m and up to £5m Between £5m and £10m Over £10m | UEB SPRC on the recommendation of UEB Council on the recommendation of SPRC |
| 2.16 | Routine spinout company/subsidiary company/joint venture/separate legal entity transactions which do not affect the material value of the University's investment | Chief Financial Officer (or nominee) |
| 2.17 | University agreement to the appointment of Directors of spinout companies, subsidiary companies, joint ventures, or other separate legal entities, in which the University has a shareholding or equivalent interest – | |
| | on establishment of the spinout company, subsidiary company, joint venture or other separate legal entity | The appointment is made by the body which approves the establishment under Sections 2.14 and 2.15 |
| | subsequent appointments following establishment | Registrar and Secretary |
| 2.18 | Determination of student fee levels | SPRC |
| 3. | Estates and Infrastructure | |
| 3.1 | Approval of capital projects | |
| | Below £1m | Capital Sub-Group of UEB (for estates projects) or Digital Steering Group of UEB (for digital projects) |
| | Between £1m and up to £10m | UEB (noting that the Vice-Chancellor may, by exception, approve a capital project up to £5m on behalf of UEB if a decision is required before the next available meeting of UEB) |
| | Between £10m and up to £20m | SPRC on the recommendation of UEB |

| | £20m and above | Council on the recommendation of SPRC |
|-----|--|---|
| 3.2 | Approval of tenders for capital projects within the budgets for particular projects that have been approved under 3.1 above | Director of Estates |
| 3.3 | Approval to buy, sell, exchange freehold land Less than £500k Between £500k and up to £5m Between £5m and up to £10m £10m and above Approval to grant, take, assign, vary forfeit and surrender leasehold interests | Director of Estates UEB SPRC Council on the recommendation of SPRC |
| | Leases of less than 3 years | Director of Estates or Director of Campus Services |
| | Leases of between 3 and 15 years | Director of Estates |
| | Leases of between 15 and 25 years | UEB |
| | Leases of over 25 years | SPRC |
| 3.4 | Approval to grant, vary and release easements, wayleaves, covenants, options and pre-emption rights in respect of land valued at – | |
| | Less than £500k Between £500k and £5m Between £5m and £10m Over £10m | Director of Estates UEB SPRC Council |
| 3.5 | Approval of other documents relating to land and buildings but which do not acquire or dispose of an interest in land including but not limited to licences, tenancies at will, routine side letters, consents and notices, and statutory planning agreements. | Director of Estates |
| | matter to UEB, SPRC or C or risks associated with the 2. Execution of the seal of Co leases over 3 years. | ay elect not to exercise their delegation and refer the council respectively if in their judgement the complexity e transaction in question merits this. Funcil is required for freehold land transactions and for second be signed by the Director of Estates. |

| 0.0 | land. | D: ((5) () |
|-----|--|---|
| 3.6 | Approval of building contracts | Director of Estates (or nominee) |
| 3.7 | Allocation of University space | Provost and Vice-Principal (Significant changes to allocation of space) Director of Estates (Routine allocation of space) |
| 3.8 | Naming of Buildings | UEB |
| 4. | Human Resources | |
| 4.1 | Approval of conditions of | SPRC |
| 4.1 | employment | SFRO |
| 4.2 | Management of approved conditions of employment | Director of Human Resources in consultation with the Provost and Vice-Principal |
| 4.3 | Appointment of staff: Professorial Staff Other Staff | Electoral Board (letter of appointment signed by Vice-Chancellor, or nominee) Appointment Panel (letter of appointment signed by Director of Human Resources or nominee) |
| 4.4 | Approval of composition of Appointment Committee for senior posts where required by Section 3 of the Ordinances | Pro-Chancellor to approve and report their decision to the next meeting of Council |
| 4.5 | Promotions to: | As set out in Regulation 3: |
| | • Chair | Electoral Board recommendation to Vice- Chancellor |
| | Professor | College Promotions Committee recommendation to UEB (or the Vice-Chancellor on its behalf) |
| | Associate Professor and Senior Research Fellow | College Promotions Committee recommendation to UEB (or the Vice-Chancellor on its behalf) |
| 4.6 | Remuneration of senior staff (i.e. Grade 10 and above), including: (i) Determination of pay and reward of the Vice- Chancellor and Principal and the Vice-Chancellor's direct reports on University Executive Board. (ii) Determination of pay and reward of professorial and Grade 10 staff, noting | Remuneration Committee |

| 4.7 | that individual rewards are delegated by the Committee to the Vice-Chancellor and Principal. (iii) Agreement of severance packages for staff earning in excess of £100k per annum. (iv) Agreement of the annual remuneration process for senior staff (i.e. Grade 10 and above), including the parameters, principles, benchmarking and other information. (v) Review of the University's reward policy for senior staff, noting that any major changes to the policy will be recommended to Council. (vi) equality and diversity monitoring. Remuneration of staff at Grade 9 and below | Head of College / Registrar and Secretary (or nominee) (contractual confirmation signed by Director of Human Pagaurage or |
|------|---|---|
| | | by Director of Human Resources or nominee) |
| 4.8 | Academic Staff | Grievance Panel * Grievance Appeal Panel * Disciplinary Panel * (recommendations to be approved by Vice-Chancellor or Provost and Vice-Principal) Disciplinary Appeal Panel * Redundancy Panel * Redundancy Appeal Panel * |
| 4.9 | Administrative and Other Related Staff Grievances Grievance Appeals Disciplinary Matters Disciplinary Appeals Redundancies Redundancy Appeals | Grievance Panel * Grievance Appeal Panel * Enquiry Committee * (recommendations to be approved by Vice-Chancellor or nominee) Disciplinary Appeal Panel * Head of College / Budget Centre Nominee of the Director of Human Resources |
| 4.10 | Support Staff • Grievances | Head of College / Budget Centre (or nominee) |

| Grievance Appeals | Provost and Vice-Principal (or nominee) |
|----------------------|---|
| Disciplinary Matters | Head of College / Budget Centre (or |
| | nominee) |
| Disciplinary Appeals | Provost and Vice-Principal (or nominee) |
| Redundancies | Head of College / Budget Centre (or |
| | nominee) |
| Redundancy Appeals | Provost and Vice-Principal (or nominee) |

Delegations listed in 4.8 – 4.10 are as set out in University Legislation and Conditions of Service.

^{*} Membership of the Panels in 4.8 and 4.9 is approved by the Pro-Chancellor.

A. The Powers of Council

Section 9.4 of the Statutes lists the following powers of Council:

In accordance with the powers of the University set out in the Charter and subject to the Statutes, the powers of the Council shall include:

- (a) To exercise all such powers as are conferred on the Council by the Charter and Statutes and to carry the University Legislation into effect.
- (b) To make Ordinances and Regulations for any matters in respect of which Ordinances and Regulations are authorised to be made.
- (c) To review and control or disallow any act of the Senate and to give directions to be obeyed by the Senate.
- (d) To select a seal and arms for the University and have the sole custody and use of the seal
- (e) To prosecute, defend or compromise litigation on behalf of the University.
- (f) To govern, manage and regulate the finances, accounts, investments, property, business and all affairs whatsoever of the University.
- (g) To make contracts on behalf of the University.
- (h) To enter into any financial instrument which is ancillary to the exercise of the Council's investment or borrowing powers.
- (i) To appoint the Auditor.
- (j) To determine the level of fees payable within the University and entitlement to benefits and facilities.
- (k) To borrow or lend money on behalf of the University and for that purpose (if the Council think fit) to mortgage all or any part of the property of the University whether real or personal or give such other security, including by way of guarantee, whether upon such real or personal property or otherwise as the Council think fit.
- (I) To sell, buy, exchange, lease or take leases of the University's real and leasehold estates.
- (m) To provide the buildings, premises, furniture and apparatus and other means needed for carrying on the business of the University.
- (n) To appoint from time to time persons to hold such other full-time or part-time senior managerial, administrative or academic offices or appointments for such term, with such remuneration, upon such conditions as Council may think fit and to designate these offices or appointments with such names or titles as Council shall determine.
- (o) To entertain, adjudicate upon and if thought fit redress the grievances of:
 - Staff of the University who may for any reason feel aggrieved, except where a member of Staff has followed a procedure which culminates in a review by a committee of Council;
 - (ii) Registered Students who raise a grievance concerning an issue or issues which, in the opinion of the Registrar and Secretary, concerns matters of University governance, and who have exhausted other internal dispute resolution procedures.
- (p) To appoint advisory boards consisting either wholly or partly of members unconnected with the University, upon such terms and for such purposes as the Council may consider advisable.
- (q) To make standing orders governing the procedure at meetings of the Council, including the power to add to, amend, alter or repeal any theretofore made.
- (r) To make an agreement to enter in the formation of a company, or to take shares, or to appoint a member of staff to represent the University as a Director of a private company

The Council shall obey and carry out the Statutes.

B. Powers that may not be delegated by Council

Section 10 of the Statutes specifies the powers which the Council may not delegate as well as those to whom it may delegate powers:

1. The following powers are reserved to Council and may not be delegated:

Organisational strategy and governance

- (a) To approve or amend the University's mission and strategy and supporting strategic plans
- (b) To approve or amend the overall constitutional, organizational and management structures of the University, including:
 - (i) amendments to the Charter and Statutes (subject to the authority of the Privy Council) and amendments to Ordinances
 - (ii) decisions to cease all or any material part of the University's activities.
- (c) To approve and monitor sound systems of internal control and risk management, following recommendations from the audit committee
- (d) To use and keep custody of the University's common seal, subject to a report to Council whenever the seal and arms of the University are used
- (e) To make changes to the composition of the Council (subject to Privy Council approval), appointments to the Council, including election of Lay Officers, and composition and membership of Council committees, following recommendations from the membership committee of the Council
- (f) To prosecute, defend or settle litigation above a value in excess of an amount specified in a scheme of delegation of Council's powers

Finances

- (g) To adopt the University's annual accounts
- (h) To approve the annual budget
- (i) To borrow money on behalf of the University
- (j) To appoint or remove the University's external Auditors and internal Auditor, following recommendations from the audit committee
- (k) To approve major changes to the rules of any University pension scheme, or changes of employer-nominated Trustees or the fund management arrangements (when subject to Council approval)

Estates and infrastructure

(I) To mortgage any part of the property of the University

Human resources

- (m) To appoint and dismiss the Vice-Chancellor and Principal and to approve the Vice-Chancellor and Principal's terms and conditions of appointment
- (n) To approve, after receiving recommendations from the remuneration committee, a remuneration policy for the Vice-Chancellor and Principal and other staff whose remuneration has to be reported in the University's annual accounts
- 2. With the exception of the reserved powers set out in clause 1 above, the Council may delegate its powers under the Charter, Statutes, Ordinances and Regulations to:
 - (a) an appropriately qualified member of the Council; or
 - (b) an appropriately qualified committee consisting of persons appointed by the Council, or to the Senate, or a joint committee consisting of persons appointed by the Council and the Senate; or
 - (c) an appropriately qualified member of the Staff.

Revised by Council: 30th November 2022

Policy and Procedure on Public Interest Disclosure and "Whistleblowing"

1. Introduction

The University of Birmingham has a duty to conduct its affairs in a responsible and transparent way and to take account of the requirements of its funding bodies for the proper use of public funds and of the standards required in public life. In addition, the University is committed to the principles of academic freedom embodied in its Charter, Statutes, Ordinances and Regulations, and enshrined in the Education Reform Act 1988. The Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 (PIDA) came into effect on 2 July 1999 and provided a framework of protection against detriment or dismissal for raising concerns about certain matters of public interest¹ ("blowing the whistle") and encourages the resolution of problems within the workplace. This Policy reflects the provisions of PIDA and is consistent with the clarification provided by the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013 (ERRA) regarding whistleblowing protection.

This Policy is designed to allow **staff**, **students and all members of University bodies** (e.g. University Committees) to raise, at high level, concerns or information which they reasonably believe provides evidence of malpractice or impropriety which is in the public interest. Individuals discovering apparent evidence of malpractice, impropriety or wrongdoing within the University should feel able to disclose the information appropriately **without fear of reprisal**. This Policy and Procedure sets out how such disclosures should be made, and how cases will be handled by the University.

A person making a disclosure in the public interest, without malice, and in accordance with this Policy will not be penalised for doing so.

This Policy is intended to be used to raise matters of general concern in the public interest. It is hoped that staff and students feel able to raise the majority of their concerns with their line manager (staff) or tutor / supervisor / Head of School (students). Students may also seek advice about any potential disclosure from Academic Services or through the Guild of Students. A number

of policies and procedures are already available to staff and students, through which individuals may raise and resolve many issues of concern. For example, staff have access to separate procedures for matters relating to their employment, and students for issues relating to their study. A list of relevant Procedures is attached.

2. External Disclosures

To avoid possible prejudice to any internal investigation process, a disclosure should not normally be made to external bodies before it is raised through the internal mechanisms set out in this Policy. However, under the Act, in limited circumstances², an individual making a disclosure to an external body relating to one of the matters covered by the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998, may be protected from detriment or dismissal.

3. Purpose of Policy

The purpose of this Policy is:

- to encourage the reporting of wrongdoing as soon as possible;
- to provide reassurance that confidentiality will be respected;
- to enable individuals to raise concerns within the institution without fear of reprisals / victimisation;
- to provide a process for concerns to be raised, investigated and where appropriate acted upon;
- to give a clear message that allegations of malpractice / impropriety are taken seriously;
- to act as a deterrent to potential perpetrators of misconduct; and
- to strengthen the confidence of all interested parties (funding bodies, students, members of staff, etc.) that the University maintains the highest standards of conduct.

4. Matters Covered

This Policy is designed to be used to raise serious concerns which are reasonably believed by the person raising them to be in the public interest.

Examples of serious misconduct, impropriety or malpractice which may prompt

such a disclosure are those which tend to show one or more of the following matters is either happening now, took place in the past, or is likely to happen in the future:

- a criminal offence;
- the breach of a legal obligation;
- a miscarriage of justice;
- a danger to the health or safety of any individual;
- damage to the environment;
- financial or non-financial maladministration, malpractice or fraud;
- the exercise of academic freedom³ being obstructed or frustrated;
- serious failure to comply with the Charter, Statutes, Ordinances or Regulations of the University;
- evidence of academic or professional malpractice;
- failure of (an) individual(s) to disclose a serious conflict of interest; or
- deliberate covering up or suppression of information relating to any of the above matters.

The procedure is **not** designed to be used:

- for complaints relating to a member of staff's employment, the way they
 have been treated at work, or personal circumstances, which are covered
 by other procedures such as those listed in the Appendix to this policy;
- for complaints relating to a student's study, or personal circumstances,
 which are covered by other procedures;
- to consider any matters which should be addressed under other internal procedures, for example complaints, disciplinary or grievance procedures;
- to reconsider any matters already addressed under other internal procedures, for example complaints, disciplinary or grievance procedures;
- by individuals to challenge decisions properly taken by the University; or
- which otherwise fall outside the matters covered in this Policy as described above.

5. Confidentiality

All disclosures under this Policy will be treated in a confidential and sensitive manner. If required, the identity of the person raising the matter will be kept

confidential for as long as possible provided that this is compatible with an effective investigation. The investigation process may, however, at some stage have to reveal the source of the information and the individual making the disclosure may need to make a statement as part of the evidence required.

6. Anonymous Disclosures

Individuals are encouraged to put their name to any disclosures they make since part of the purpose of this Policy is to promote openness and discourage a fear of reprisals. Disclosures raised anonymously are far less capable of being addressed effectively but may be considered after taking into account the seriousness of the issue, the credibility of the disclosure, the likelihood of being able to investigate the matter and confirm the allegation from alternative sources, and fairness to any individual mentioned in the disclosure.

Any individual wishing to make a disclosure orally or to give further details as the matter is investigated may be accompanied by a member of the University (as defined in University legislation Error! Reference source not found.). The chosen companion must respect the confidentiality of the disclosure and any subsequent investigation.

7. Procedure for Making a Disclosure

Any relevant disclosure should initially be made to the person designated by the University to receive such disclosures. The "Designated Person" is the Registrar and Secretary, or their nominee. If a disclosure involves or implicates the Registrar and Secretary, then it should be made to the Vice-Chancellor and / or the Pro-Chancellor (who Chairs the University Council), as appropriate.

The Designated Person to whom the disclosure has been made, or their nominee, will consider the matters disclosed and, if in their reasonable opinion there is a *prima facie* case that there are grounds for proceeding further, will:

- decide whether an investigation should be conducted;
- determine what form the investigation should take; and
- appoint a relevant person to carry out the investigation.

The relevant person selected will observe the rules of procedural fairness and natural justice, namely that they should have no personal interest in the outcome of the case and that there should be no real likelihood of bias.

If the Designated Person, or their nominee, decides that there <u>are not</u> grounds for proceeding further, the person making the disclosure will be informed. As the person conducting the investigation must not be the person who would ultimately take the decisions based on outcomes, the Designated Person to whom the disclosure has been made, or their nominee, will not personally conduct the investigation and will remain separate from it.

Disclosures relating to financial matters will normally be investigated as set out in the Code of Practice on Corporate Governance and Related Procedural Matters.

When the matter has been investigated the Designated Person to whom the disclosure was made, or their nominee, will decide whether the matter should be taken further in accordance with this policy as appropriate, and if so how it should be handled. This may include the initiation of formal procedures within the University or reference to an outside agency. In any event the outcome will be reported, as appropriate, to the Vice-Chancellor, the Pro-Chancellor and to the Audit Committee.

Reporting on the findings of any investigation will depend on the nature of the disclosure as it is not appropriate to be prescriptive as to the correct level of reporting in every case.

This Policy does not remove the right of individuals to invoke the relevant statutory procedures.

8. Individuals Named in a Disclosure

When an allegation is made against a "named individual", s/he will be informed of the allegation and supporting evidence. The point at which this occurs will

depend on the specific nature of the case. S/he will be given an opportunity to respond either in writing or orally and, if interviewed about the matter, will be given an opportunity to be accompanied by a member of the University^{Error!} Reference source not found.

9. Unfounded Disclosures

A disclosure made which is reasonably believed to be in the public interest and which is not confirmed by subsequent investigation will not lead to any action against the person making the disclosure. Individuals making disclosures which are found by subsequent investigation to be malicious and/or vexatious may be subject to disciplinary or other appropriate action.

10. Victimisation

Victimisation of a member of the University who has made a disclosure under this Policy may provide grounds for grievance, disciplinary or other appropriate action.

11. Timescales

Investigations will be conducted as speedily as possible having regard to the nature and complexity of the disclosure.

12. Feedback through the Process

The University will aim to keep the person making the disclosure informed as to the handling of the matter. However, the need for confidentiality may prevent the University giving specific details of the investigation, outcome or any disciplinary action taken as a result. The person making the disclosure should treat any information about the investigation as confidential.

13. Records

All concerns raised and action taken in response to disclosures will be recorded and reports on all disclosures and investigations will be retained by the Designated Person or their nominee for 5 years.

14. Review of Policy

The implementation of this Policy will be subject to regular review.

Footnotes

It should be noted that the Act refers throughout to "workers". For the purpose of the University's Policy, this should be taken to include all members of the University (as defined in University Section 6 of the Statutes) and members of University Committees, whether or not they are members of staff or students of the University.

1. The disclosures which qualify for protection are disclosures of information which the worker reasonably believes tend to show one or more of the matters listed in paragraph 4 of this Policy is either happening now, took place in the past, or is likely to happen in the future.

In making the disclosure the worker must have reasonable belief that the information disclosed tends to show one or more of the offences or breaches listed above ('a relevant failure'). The belief need not be correct - it might be discovered subsequently that the worker was in fact wrong - but the worker must show that it was a reasonable belief in the circumstances at the time of disclosure.

- 2. Workers who are concerned about wrongdoing or failures can make disclosures to a person or body which has been prescribed by the Secretary of State for the purpose of receiving disclosures about the matters concerned. If the worker makes a qualifying disclosure to such persons, it will be a protected disclosure provided the worker:
 - makes the disclosure which is reasonably believed to be in the public interest;
 - reasonably believes that the information, and any allegation it contains, are substantially true; and
 - reasonably believes that the matter falls within the description of *matters for which the person or body has been prescribed* (for example, breaches of health and safety regulations can be brought to the attention of the Health and Safety Executive or appropriate local authority, or environmental dangers can be notified to the Environment Agency for further information see the Department for Business Innovation and Skill's List of Prescribed Persons and Bodies [PDF 310KB].
- 3. Academic freedom is defined in Statute 14 as "the freedom within the law to question and test received wisdom, and to put forward new ideas, including controversial or unpopular opinions, without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their jobs or privileges."

Attachment to Appendix (c): Related Procedures and Documents

University Guidelines and Codes of Practice relevant to Disclosure include the following (this list is indicative and is not exclusive of other University legislation or codes of practice):

- The procedure for reporting financial irregularities, within the <u>Code of Practice on Corporate Governance and Related Procedural Matters [PDF 1602KB]</u>
- 2. The Complaints Procedure for Students [HTML]
- 3. University Regulation on Student Conduct, Regulation 8, available within the University Legislation at Regulations [HTML]
- 4. Academic Staff Grievance Procedure, Ordinance 3.27 [PDF 473KB]
- 5. Guidelines for Students on Plagiarism [HTML]
- 6. Procedures for those who are registered as students of the University may also be covered within the relevant Academic Code of Practice [HTML]
- 7. Code of Practice on Academic Appeals Procedure [HTML]
- 8. Conditions of Use of Computing and Network Facilities [PDF 358KB]
- 9. Harassment and Bullying Policy (staff and students) [PDF 456KB]
- 10. Protocol on Conflicts of Interest [PDF 456KB]
- 11. Code of Practice for Staff on Plagiarism [PDF 28KB]
- 12. Conditions of Employment for Support Staff <u>Appendix 6: Grievance</u>

 <u>Procedure [PDF 42KB]</u>
- 13. Code of Practice for Research [PDF 358KB]
- 14. Information Security Policy [PDF 285KB]
- 15. Anti-bribery and Corruption Policy [PDF 142KB]
- Safeguarding Children and Young People within the University [PDF 84KB]
- 17. Data Protection Policy [PDF 640KB]
- 18. Code of Ethics [PDF 432KB]

Ordinance 3.27 - Procedure for Consideration of Grievances Submitted to Council

3.27 Grievances

The Grievance Procedure for members of Academic Staff referred to in Statute 14 shall be as follows:

Part I - Application and Scope

- 3.27.1 This Ordinance applies to grievances by members of Staff as defined by Statute 14, Clause 2(1) with the exception of the Vice Chancellor. Where a member of Staff who has raised a grievance leaves the University's employment before the grievance is resolved, with the agreement of the member of Staff, the grievance may either be concluded under this Ordinance or be transferred to the relevant statutory procedure at the point in this Ordinance which has been reached by the date the member of Staff's employment in the University ceases, so that the statutory procedure need not start afresh and cover ground which has already been covered under this Ordinance. Where a former member of Staff raises a grievance after having left the University's employment, provided such grievance is brought to the University's attention normally within three months of the date on which the contract of employment came to an end, the grievance will be handled under any relevant statutory procedure.
- 3.27.2 As set out in Statute 14, Clause 20(2), this Ordinance applies to grievances by members of Staff concerning their appointment or employment in relation to matters affecting themselves as individuals or their personal dealings or relationships with other Staff of the University, other than:
- 3.27.2 (a) those for which provision is made elsewhere in Statute 14 or in respect of the outcome of any matter dealt with under Statute 14 (and accordingly, for example, this procedure is not to be used to challenge the outcome or handling of any disciplinary issue dealt with under Statute 14, Part III); and
- 3.27.2 (b) where the Council, with the agreement of the recognised trade union, has prescribed other procedures to deal with specific types of grievances which are no less favourable to the member of Staff than this Grievance Procedure.
- 3.27.3 Where a grievance is raised by a member of Staff under this Ordinance and another proceeding under Statute 14 is pending concerning the member of Staff raising the grievance, regardless of which of the two was first raised, the Director (or a Deputy or Assistant Director) of Human Resources will discuss with the member of Staff (or the member of Staff's representative) with a view to reaching agreement on whether the two proceedings can proceed concurrently or in the alternative which of the two shall be processed first. In the event that it is not possible to reach

agreement, the matter will be drawn to the attention of the appropriate Pro Vice-Chancellor who, after consulting with the Director (or Deputy or Assistant Director) of Human Resources, will decide how to proceed in the circumstances.

3.27.4 In the event that the person hearing a grievance believes that the substance of the grievance may constitute a disciplinary complaint against another member of Staff, s/he shall consult with the Director of Human Resources (or a nominee with a personnel qualification or an experienced personnel practitioner) to determine whether and, if so, how a disciplinary allegation should be proceeded with. In the event that a disciplinary allegation arises out of a grievance and is handled under the provisions of Statute 14 section 10, the process of the grievance shall be suspended until the action under Statute 14 section 10 is complete, when the member of Staff raising the grievance in the first place shall decide whether or not to pursue the grievance any further.

Part II - General Principles

- 3.27.5 This Ordinance shall be applied and construed in every case to give effect to the guiding principles set out in Statute 14, Clause 1(1).
- 3.27.6 Any hearing or appeal convened under this Ordinance shall be held in private and the normal rules of evidence in a court of law need not apply.
- 3.27.7 At any hearing convened under this Ordinance to discuss the substance of his/her grievance, the member of Staff will have the rights to be present and to be represented at the hearing by a member of Staff or trade union representative of his/her choice and may be accompanied by a friend who shall be a member of Staff and who shall take no part in the proceedings.
- 3.27.7 (a) If the member of Staff or his or her representative is not available at the time proposed, the hearing shall be postponed to another reasonable time at which both are available being normally not later than 14 calendar days after the date first proposed for the hearing.
- 3.27.7 (b) The representative attending the hearing with the member of Staff may speak on the member of Staff's behalf, but may not, except with the permission of the person responsible at the time for seeking to resolve the grievance, or the Chair of the Grievance Panel, answer questions (relating to the issues in dispute) on the member of Staff's behalf.
- 3.27.7 (c) If the member of Staff fails to attend the hearing without a valid reason, or is prevented from attending for such a long period of time that the delay involved would be unreasonable in all the circumstances, the person responsible at the time for seeking to resolve the grievance, or the Chair of the Grievance Panel, shall consider the circumstances preventing the member of Staff attending

and shall consult the Director (or a Deputy or Assistant Director) of Human Resources before deciding whether to proceed with or continue the hearing, in the absence of the member of Staff, or whether to postpone it. If the member of Staff is not present at the hearing, he or she shall have the right to be represented at the hearing.

Part III

Stage 1

3.27.8 A grievance should in the first instance, as far as is reasonably practicable, be raised within the Principal Academic Unit for informal resolution. The grievance may be raised with a senior member of Staff of the Principal Academic Unit, including the Head of Principal Academic Unit. If the member of Staff bringing the grievance feels it would not be appropriate to raise the grievance within the Principal Academic Unit, s/he may raise it for informal resolution with another Head of Principal Academic Unit within the relevant College, who may inquire as to the reasons why the member of Staff feels it is not appropriate to raise the grievance within the Principal Academic Unit. The process may include communicating with any person(s) against whom the grievance lies. If the grievance cannot be resolved in this way, then the procedure in paragraph 9 below should be followed.

Stage 2

- 3.27.9 Where a member of Staff has been unable to raise or to resolve his/her grievance under paragraph 8 above, he or she should put the grievance in writing (in sufficient detail to enable the scope of the grievance to be understood) to the Director of Human Resources who, after consultation with the member of Staff bringing the grievance, shall refer it to a Head of College (or nominee) or a Pro Vice-Chancellor or a Deputy Pro-Vice-Chancellor (the "Appropriate Person") for resolution. The Appropriate Person shall acknowledge receipt of the written grievance, normally within seven calendar days, and shall arrange to meet with the member of Staff (normally within 28 calendar days) to acquaint him/herself with the subject matter of the grievance, seek any clarification which may be required and to determine how to proceed, which may include:
- 3.27.9 (a) disposing of the grievance as frivolous, vexatious or invalid;
- 3.27.9 (b) conducting an investigation (which may include communicating or meeting with any person against whom the grievance lies and any others concerned);
- 3.27.9 (c) seeking to resolve the grievance to the satisfaction of the member of Staff, which may include:

- (i) with their consent, holding a meeting between the parties to the grievance;
- (ii) recommending the parties to the grievance engage in a formal mediation process;
- (iii) seeking, suggesting and/or facilitating physical and/or organisational changes
- 3.27.9 (d) referring the grievance for consideration by the Vice-Chancellor under clause 3.27.10 below;
- 3.27.9 (e) any other action which may be appropriate in the circumstances.

At any meeting under this paragraph, any member of Staff shall have the right to be accompanied by a member of Staff or a trade union representative of his/her choice. The Appropriate Person shall ensure that the member of Staff and any person against whom the grievance lies are kept informed of progress with any investigation and in writing of its outcome.

Stage 3

- 3.27.10 If the Appropriate Person determines under paragraph 9 above that the grievance be referred for the consideration of the Vice-Chancellor, s/he shall draw the grievance to the attention of the Vice-Chancellor setting out:
- 3.27.10 (a) details of the grievance; and
- 3.27.10 (b) details of the steps which have been taken under Stage 2 in relation to the grievance so far, enclosing copies of all relevant correspondence.

If the member of Staff remains dissatisfied following attempts to resolve the grievance under Stage 2 above, s/he may apply to the Vice-Chancellor in writing for redress. In doing so, s/he shall provide the information set out in sub-paragraphs i) – ii) above and setting out the reasons why s/he is dissatisfied with the outcome of Stage 2 to the Vice-Chancellor.

- 3.27.11 The Vice-Chancellor (or his/her nominee) shall be entitled to:
- 3.27.11 (a) dismiss the grievance summarily or take no action upon it if he or she considers that the grievance is frivolous, vexatious or invalid; or
- 3.27.11 (b) in accordance with clauses 3.27.3 and/or 3.27.4 above, delay consideration of the grievance pending the outcome of any other procedures in the University relevant to the subject matter of the grievance; or
- 3.27.11 (c) seek to resolve the grievance informally; or

- 3.27.11 (d) decide to refer the grievance to a Panel and request the Pro Chancellor to appoint a Panel in accordance with paragraph 13 below, and notify the member of Staff accordingly.
- 3.27.12 If the Vice-Chancellor (or his or her nominee) is minded to take action under sub-clauses 3.27.11 (a) (c) above, s/he shall take this step only after:
- 3.27.12 (a) writing to the member of Staff to indicate that, on review of the papers, he or she considers it may be appropriate to exercise his/her powers under this paragraph;
- 3.27.12 (b) inviting the member of Staff to a meeting to make representations, at which meeting the member of Staff may be accompanied by a member of Staff or a trade union representative of his/her choice;
- 3.27.12 (c) considering any representations made by the member of Staff at that meeting; and
- 3.27.12 (d) informing the member of Staff how he or she intends to proceed under clause 3.27.11 above.
- 3.27.13 If the Vice-Chancellor (or his or her nominee) decides to proceed under sub-clause 3.27.11 (d) above, the Grievance Panel ("the Panel"), shall be convened by the Pro Chancellor on a case by case basis and in accordance with the following rules:
- 3.27.13 (a) each Panel shall consist of three persons (subject to sub-clause 3.27.13 (d) below);
- 3.27.13 (b) at least one member of the Panel but no more than two shall be a lay member of the Council or an Emeritus Professor and at least one shall be a member of Academic Staff (from a different Principal Academic Unit (or equivalent) from the person raising the grievance) drawn from a list agreed from time to time by the Senate of the University;
- 3.27.13 (c) no Panel member shall have had any previous direct or active involvement with the member of Staff's grievance, or any conflict of interest in the matter;
- 3.27.13 (d) where the Panel is dealing with a member of Staff falling within Statute 14, Clause 19(1) then a representative of a National Health Service or other relevant body may be appointed to the Panel and where this occurs the Panel may consist of more than three members, but shall not exceed five persons; and
- 3.27.13 (e) the Panel shall be advised by the Director of Human Resources or a nominee with a personnel qualification or an experienced personnel practitioner.

- 3.27.14 The Pro Chancellor shall determine who shall chair the Panel.
- 3.27.15 The parties to the grievance shall be the member of Staff raising the grievance and either, as appropriate, the University, represented by an employee or officer of the University (a 'University Representative') appointed by the Registrar and Secretary (or nominee) and/or any other person against whom the grievance lies (referred to below as 'the parties').
- 3.27.16 The Registrar and Secretary (or nominee) shall write to the parties at least 14 calendar days in advance of the Panel hearing:
- 3.27.16 (a) naming the members of the Panel (including the adviser appointed under sub-clause 3.27.13 (e) above;
- 3.27.16 (b) naming the University Representative appointed under clause 3.27.15 above
- 3.27.16 (c) inviting them to a hearing ("the Hearing"); and
- 3.27.16 (d) informing the parties that they have the right to be represented or assisted in accordance with clause 3.27.7 above.
- 3.27.17 Subject to clause 3.27.16 above, it shall be for the Panel at its discretion to determine, in consultation with the adviser appointed under clause 3.27.13 (e) above, the procedure to be followed in preparation for and at the Hearing, which may include without limitation:
- 3.27.17 (a) determining which documents (if any) should be provided;
- 3.27.17 (b) determining the extent to which witnesses may be called; and
- 3.27.17 (c) deciding whether it would be appropriate to adjourn or postpone the Hearing.

The member of Staff shall have the right to see, question and challenge at the Hearing any witnesses and any new evidence which may be produced. The Hearing will be held in private and the rules of evidence or procedure applicable in a court of law need not apply.

- 3.27.18 The member of Staff shall indicate to the Panel whether or not s/he wishes to attend the Hearing in person. If s/he wishes to attend, s/he shall take all reasonable steps to attend the Hearing and/or any reconvened Hearing after an adjournment.
- 3.27.19 As soon as is reasonably practicable after the Hearing the Panel will make its decision (which may be by majority if necessary). The Panel shall decide whether the grievance is or is not well founded and any steps that should be taken as a result, including steps to resolve the grievance to the satisfaction of the member of Staff raising the grievance and, where possible, to the satisfaction of all parties.

3.27.20 The Panel shall prepare and send to the parties a reasoned written decision (normally within 14 calendar days of making its decision). A report of the Panel's decision shall also be sent to Council. The names of the parties shall remain anonymous in any report to Council and in the minutes of meetings at which such reports are considered. Each party shall be notified of his/her right to appeal against the decision taken under clause 3.27.19 above.

Stage 4

- 3.27.21 If the member of Staff and/or any member of Staff against whom the grievance lies wishes to appeal against the outcome, he or she should do so in writing to the Registrar and Secretary or some other appropriate person nominated by the Vice-Chancellor or his or her nominee (the "Appointed Officer") within 14 calendar days of receipt of the Panel's decision under clause 3.27.20 above. The member of Staff should set out the grounds of his/her appeal.
- 3.27.22 The appeal will be heard by a panel (the "Appeal Panel") constituted in accordance with Part IV of this Ordinance.
- 3.27.23 The Registrar and Secretary or Appointed Officer will write to the member of Staff at least 21 calendar days in advance of the Appeal Hearing:
- 3.27.23 (a) naming the members of the Appeal Panel and the adviser appointed under clause 3.27.29(e);
- 3.27.23 (b) inviting the parties (as defined in clause 3.27.15 above) to an appeal hearing (the "Appeal Hearing"); and
- 3.27.23 (c) informing the parties of their right to be represented or assisted in accordance with clause 3.27.7 above.
 - The Registrar and Secretary or Appointed Officer will also inform the parties of the person who will be explaining to the Appeal Panel the reason(s) for the decision taken under clause 3.27.19 above. This person may be legally qualified.
- 3.27.24 Subject to clause 3.27.7 above, it shall be for the Appeal Panel in its discretion to determine, in consultation with the adviser appointed under sub-clause 3.27.29 (e), the procedure to be followed in preparation for and at the Appeal Hearing which may include without limitation:
- 3.27.24 (a) a right to call for additional documents;
- 3.27.24 (b) determining the extent to which witnesses may be called; and
- 3.27.24 (c) deciding whether it would be appropriate to adjourn or postpone the Appeal Hearing.

The Appeal Hearing shall be held in private and the normal rules of evidence or procedure applicable in a court of law need not apply. The parties shall have the right to see, question and challenge at the Appeal Hearing any witnesses and any new evidence which may be produced. The parties will be informed before the Appeal Hearing of the procedure the Appeal Panel has decided to adopt as outlined above.

- 3.27.25 Each party shall indicate to the Appeal Panel whether or not s/he wishes to attend the Appeal Hearing in person. If s/he wishes to attend, s/he shall take all reasonable steps to attend the Appeal Hearing and/or any reconvened Appeal Hearing following any adjournment or postponement.
- 3.27.26 The Appeal Panel will make its decision and provide the parties with its reasoned decision in writing, normally not more than 14 calendar days after the conclusion of the Appeal Hearing.
- 3.27.27 The decision of the Appeal Panel shall be final.
- 3.27.28 A report of the Appeal Panel's decision will also be sent to the Council. The parties shall remain anonymous in any report to Council and in the minutes of meetings at which such reports are considered.

Part IV - Constitution of the Appeal Panel

- 3.27.29 Any Panel or Appeal Panel referred to in this Ordinance shall be appointed by the Pro Chancellor on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the following rules:
- 3.27.29 (a) subject to sub-clause 3.27.29 (d) below, each Panel shall consist of three persons;
- 3.27.29 (b) at least one member of the Panel but no more than two shall be a lay member of the Council or an Emeritus Professor and at least one shall be a member of Academic Staff (from a different Principal Academic Unit (or equivalent) from the member of Staff concerned) drawn from a list agreed from time to time by the Senate of the University;
- 3.27.29 (c) no Panel member shall have had any previous direct or active involvement in the process, or should have any conflict of interest in the matter. No person may sit on both the Panel and the Appeal Panel in a particular case;
- 3.27.29 (d) where the Panel is dealing with a member of Staff falling within Statute 14, Clause 19(1) then a representative of a National Health Service or other relevant body may be appointed to the Panel. Where this occurs the Panel may consist of more than three members, but shall not exceed five persons; and
- 3.27.29 (e) the Panel shall be advised by the Director of Human Resources or a nominee with a personnel qualification or an experienced personnel practitioner.
- 3.27.30 The Pro Chancellor shall determine who shall chair an Appeal Panel

convened under this Ordinance.

University of Birmingham Anti-Fraud Policy Statement

Introduction

The University of Birmingham is committed to the prevention of fraud and the promotion of an anti-fraud culture.

The University operates a zero-tolerance attitude to fraud and requires staff to act honestly and with integrity at all times, and to report all reasonable suspicions of fraud.

The University will investigate all instances of actual, attempted and suspected fraud committed by staff, students, suppliers, subsidiaries and other third parties, and will seek to recover funds and assets lost through fraud. Perpetrators will be subject to disciplinary and/or legal action.

This policy is endorsed and supported by the Registrar and Secretary, Chief Financial Officer, Director of Legal Services and the Head of Internal Audit on behalf of the University Executive Board.

Definition of fraud

The term 'fraud' is commonly used to describe the use of deception to deprive, disadvantage or cause loss to another person or party, or to obtain financial gain. This can include theft, the misuse of funds or other resources, or more complicated crimes such as false accounting and the supply of false information.

Examples include purchasing items for personal use using University funds, unapproved use of University assets for personal gain, falsely claiming overtime or travel expenses, or undertaking activities for personal gain during paid University time without appropriate approval.

Individuals can be prosecuted under the Fraud Act 2006 if they make a false representation, fail to disclose information or abuse their position.

The University has established procedures to encourage staff to report actual, attempted or suspected fraud and/or other forms of illegal activity without fear of reprisal. The Fraud Response Plan clarifies the process to be followed and reporting of the investigation.

Key responsibilities

The Vice-Chancellor is responsible for:

 Ensuring that the reporting requirements under OfS and its arrangements relating to material adverse events are fulfilled, including incidences of significant fraud.

The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for:

• Developing, implementing and maintaining adequate systems of financial management and internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

The Registrar and Secretary is responsible for:

 Initiating the Fraud Response Plan on receipt of actual or suspected incidents of significant fraud or irregularity which are deemed to be of sufficient materiality.

The Director of Legal Services is responsible for:

 Initiating the investigation to ensure that it is performed under legal privilege where the suspected fraud could have significant legal, financial or reputational consequences.

The Head of Internal Audit is responsible for:

- Liaising with the Registrar and Secretary, Director of Legal Services, Chief Financial Officer and other officers as required as part of the investigation into actual or suspected fraud,
- Providing reports on serious incidents and fraud to the Audit Committee.

Staff are responsible for:

- Maintaining and monitoring compliance with internal controls and agreed policies and procedures,
- Immediately reporting details of any suspected fraud, whether by an employee or an external organisation, and
- Assisting in the investigation of suspected fraud.

Reporting suspicions

Staff should report concerns about actual, attempted or suspected fraud to their line manager, who will in turn inform the Registrar and Secretary in line with the University's Whistleblowing Policy. Advice may be obtained from the Head of Internal Audit, Chief Financial Officer, or Director of Legal Services over the appropriate steps to take.

Staff should not attempt to investigate any fraud themselves.

The Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 protects employees who raise concerns about certain matters of public interest in good faith. Staff can obtain free independent advice from the charity Protect using the following link:

www.protect-advice.org.uk

A copy of the Whistleblowing Policy can be found on the intranet or can be obtained from the following link (Appendix C):

https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/Documents/university/governance/CODE-OF-PRACTICE-ON-CORPORATE-GOVERNANCE.pdf

Fraud response plan

The University has established guidelines for senior managers on the immediate actions to be taken in the event of a fraud being discovered or suspected within their area of responsibility. It covers reporting and recording requirements, securing evidence and preventing further losses, and the investigation process.

A copy of the Fraud Response Plan can be found on the intranet or can be obtained from the following link (Appendix E):

https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/Documents/university/governance/CODE-OF-PRACTICE-ON-CORPORATE-GOVERNANCE.pdf

Other relevant policies:

- Anti-Bribery Policy
- Gifts and Hospitality Policy
- Code of Practice on Corporate Governance
- Conflict of Interest
- Fraud Response Plan
- Manual of Financial Rules
- Procurement Procedures
- Whistleblowing
- Code of Ethics

University of Birmingham Fraud Response Policy

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this policy is to define responsibilities for action and reporting lines in the event of a suspected fraud or irregularity. The use of the policy should assist in enabling the institution to prevent further loss, establish and secure evidence necessary for any disciplinary and/or criminal action, undertake a systematic investigation process, recover losses where possible, and notify all relevant parties. The policy applies to the University and to all subsidiary and associated companies and to suspected frauds or irregularities undertaken by staff, students or third parties.
- 1.2 The Fraud Act 2006 created a specific offence of fraud, defining it as a dishonest act, through false representation, failure to disclose information or abuse of position, with the intent of causing a gain for self, or loss to another. It shifted the emphasis to showing the intent of the perpetrator, from the previous legislative position of demonstrating the loss to the victim. Fraud can be perpetrated by persons outside as well as inside an organisation. The criminal act is the attempt to deceive and therefore attempted fraud is treated as seriously as accomplished fraud.
- 1.3 The University's Policy and Procedure on Public Interest Disclosure and "Whistle blowing" is available on the Council pages of the University website. The policy is designed to allow staff, students and all members of University bodies (e.g. University Committees) to raise, at high level, concerns or information which they believe in good faith provides evidence of malpractice or impropriety. This Fraud Response Policy supplements the Whistleblowing Policy, and should be used where a member of the University has actual or strong suspicion of fraud or financial irregularity.

2. Initiating Action

- 2.1 Suspicions of fraud can be identified through many different routes. This can include the routine operation of controls, alerts from external stakeholders, concerns raised by staff and students, internal and external audit activity or a variety of other sources. It is the duty of all members of staff to report any actual or suspected incidents of fraud or irregularity. How this is done will depend on the situation encountered, and may include procedures documented in the University's Whistleblowing Policy. Ultimately these should be reported without delay to the Registrar and Secretary, who will decide on the appropriate actions to be taken. It may be necessary to convene a meeting of the following Fraud Response Group to decide on the initial response:
 - Registrar and Secretary (in the chair)
 - Chief Financial Officer (Deputy Chair)

- Director of Human Resources
- Head of Internal Audit
- Other senior staff as determined by the Registrar and Secretary (e.g. Director of Legal Services, Chief Information Officer, Academic Registrar, Director of External Relations).
- 2.2 If the suspected fraud or financial irregularity involves or implicates the Registrar and Secretary, then the matter should be referred to the Vice-Chancellor. If the suspected fraud or financial irregularity involves or implicates the Vice-Chancellor, then the matter should be referred to the Chair of University Council and/or the Chair of Audit Committee as appropriate.
- 2.3 The Fraud Response Group will decide on the action to be taken. This will normally be an investigation led by the Head of Internal Audit; however the action will be contingent on the scale and circumstances of the suspected incident. The decision by the group to initiate a fraud investigation shall constitute authority to the Head of Internal Audit to use time provided in the Internal Audit Plan for special investigations, or contingency time, or to switch internal audit resources from planned audits. Where the suspected fraud could have significant legal, financial or reputational consequences, the initiation of the investigation will be from the Director of Legal Services to the Head of Internal Audit to ensure that it is performed under legal privilege.
- 2.4 The Fraud Response Group will also consider whether any specialist resource such as staff from Finance, IT, Legal Services, Human Resources, Campus Services, Academic Services or Estates are required to assist in the investigation, and will ensure that this resource is made available to the Head of Internal Audit. The Fraud Response Group will approve the appointment of external specialists to assist with, or undertake, the investigation if required.
- 2.5 Confidentiality will be maintained, however the Fraud Response Group will be responsible for ensuring that the Vice-Chancellor and Chair of Audit Committee are kept informed of the progress of the investigation, and will consider the need for a wider communication to stakeholders.

3. Prevention of Further Loss

3.1 Where an initial investigation provides reasonable grounds for suspecting a member or members of the University of fraud, the Fraud Response Group will decide how to prevent further loss after consultation with the Police or the University's external solicitors, as necessary. This may require a lock-down of the relevant offices, and suspension, with pay, of suspect(s) who are members of staff. It may be necessary to plan the timing of suspension to prevent the suspect from destroying or removing evidence that may be needed to support

disciplinary or criminal action. The act of suspension should be undertaken in accordance with HR procedures.

- 3.2 In these circumstances it may be appropriate for the suspect to be approached unannounced. They should be supervised at all times before leaving the University's premises. They should be allowed to collect personal property under supervision, but should not be able to remove any property belonging to the University. Any security passes, keys to premises, offices and furniture should be returned. At this point fraud has not been proven and the process should be undertaken with respect.
- 3.3 The Head of Security will advise on the best means of denying access to the University while the suspect remains suspended. Depending on the circumstances, consideration will be given to requesting that the Chief Information Officer withdraw, without delay, access permissions to the University's computer systems.
- 3.4 The Head of Internal Audit will consider whether it is necessary to investigate systems or people other than those which gave rise to the initial suspicion, through which the suspect may have had opportunities to misappropriate the University's assets.
- 3.5 The Fraud Response Group will ensure that the University's insurers are notified as soon as possible of the fraud.

4. Establishing and Securing Evidence

4.1 The University will usually follow disciplinary procedures against any staff or student member of the University who has been proved to have committed fraud. The University will also normally pursue the prosecution of any such individual, and the Fraud Response Group will be responsible for determining who should initiate contact with the Police and when this contact should occur. Where the Police are not notified of a suspected or actual fraud, the Audit Committee should be advised of the reason.

4.2 The Head of Internal Audit will:

- Maintain familiarity with the University's disciplinary procedures and regulations, to ensure that disciplinary evidence requirements will be met during any fraud investigation;
- Establish and maintain contact with the Police if instructed to do so by the Fraud Response Group;
- Liaise with the Police or the Director of Legal Services to ensure that all staff involved in a fraud investigation are familiar with and follow rules on the

admissibility of documentary and other evidence in criminal and civil proceedings.

5. Recovery of Losses

- 5.1 The Head of Internal Audit will ensure that in all fraud investigations the amount of any loss is quantified. Repayment of losses will normally be sought in cases where it is economical to do so.
- 5.2 Where the loss is substantial, the Director of Legal Services will be responsible for providing legal advice about the need to freeze the suspect's assets through the court, pending conclusion of the investigation. Legal advice may also be obtained about prospects for recovering losses through the civil court, where the perpetrator refuses repayment. The University would normally expect to try to recover costs in addition to losses.
- 5.3 Any request for a reference for a member of staff or a student to whom a disciplinary penalty for fraud has been issued, or who has been prosecuted for fraud shall be referred to the Director of Human Resources or Academic Registrars respectively, who will advise on any answer to a request for reference.

6. Reporting to University Council

- 6.1 The Vice-Chancellor or their nominee shall report as soon as reasonably practicable any incident of actual or suspected fraud to the Chair of Council and the Chair of the Audit Committee if any of the following circumstances apply:
 - The sum of money involved is, or potentially could be, in excess of £10,000.
 - The particulars of the fraud are novel, unusual or complex.
 - The fraud is part of a pattern of similar activities.
 - There is likely to be public interest because of the nature of fraud or the individuals involved.
- 6.2 Significant departures from the approved Fraud Response Policy should be reported promptly to the Chair of Council and the Chair of the Audit Committee by the Registrar and Secretary.

7. Notifying the OfS

7.1 The circumstances in which the University must inform the OfS about actual or suspected frauds are detailed in the OfS Audit Code of Practice (Annex C to the OfS Terms and conditions of funding for higher

education institutions). The Vice-Chancellor is responsible for informing the OfS of any such incidents, and this will be done in accordance with University procedures.

8. Reporting

- 8.1 The Head of Internal Audit, the Director of Legal Services or other nominated senior staff member shall provide the Fraud Response Group and the Vice-Chancellor with regular reports (which may be oral or in writing) on the progress of ongoing special investigations. Reports will usually include quantification of losses; progress with civil recovery action; progress with disciplinary action; progress with criminal action; progress with any insurance claims; estimates of resources required and timescales to conclude the investigation; actions taken to prevent and detect similar incidents. On completion of a special investigation, an oral or written report shall be submitted to the Vice-Chancellor and to Audit Committee by the Head of Internal Audit, the Director of Legal Services or other person nominated by the Fraud Response Group containing:
 - A description of the incident, including the value of any loss, the people involved and the means of perpetrating the fraud.
 - The measures taken to prevent a recurrence.
 - The outcomes of actions taken.
 - Any actions needed to strengthen future responses to fraud, with a follow-up report on whether the actions have been taken.

COUNCIL STANDING ORDERS

(Regulations made by the Council of the University of Birmingham pursuant to Section 9 of its Statutes, to regulate the conduct of Council business. These Standing Orders apply to Council and all University Committees, including Senate, and have precedence where there are any differences in other Standing Orders or Regulations.)

Meetings

- Ordinary Meetings of the Council shall be held on such days and at such time as the Council may from time to time determine provided that at least three Ordinary Meetings shall be held each year.
- A Special Meeting of the Council may be convened at any time at the request of the Pro-Chancellor, or the Vice-Chancellor, or by not less than one third of the members requesting a Special Meeting in writing to the Registrar and Secretary.
- Notice of each Ordinary Meeting of the Council accompanied by an agenda shall be circulated to each member by the Registrar and Secretary at least five days before the date of the meeting.
- The agenda and items of business for Council meetings shall be determined by the Pro-Chancellor subject to the advice of the Registrar and Secretary and their nominees. No other business or papers shall be considered at the meeting unless agreed by the Pro-Chancellor.
- Members of the Council may request that an item of business be placed on the agenda for a meeting of Council by giving written notice of such business to the Registrar and Secretary at least fourteen days before the date of the meeting (this period of notice is to allow time for any supporting papers for the item to be compiled and circulated with the agenda). The Pro-Chancellor shall decide whether the item of business shall be considered at the next available meeting or to refer the matter to another University body or officer.
- At least five days' notice of a Special Meeting stating the business to be transacted shall be sent to each member of the Council.
- 7 The Chair shall have power to adjourn any meeting of the Council. No notice of any adjourned meeting shall be necessary unless it be adjourned for 14 days or more.
- No communication or document shall be circulated from members of the University (individually or as group) to the Council, other than via the Registrar and Secretary, who shall consult in case of doubt with the Pro-Chancellor and / or Vice-Chancellor.
- 9 Members are normally expected to attend meetings in person but may attend meetings virtually, providing that there is a good reason (such as a pandemic or

other emergency situation), sufficient notice has been given, appropriate arrangements can be put in place and their virtual attendance is approved by the Chair. A member attending in a virtual capacity will be deemed to have attended the meeting, will be counted in the quorum, and can vote. Attendance at meetings of Council and all University committees by persons other than members and those who routinely attend such meetings is by invitation of the Chair only. The Chair may require any person to withdraw from a meeting on the grounds of unacceptable conduct.

Chair

- The Pro-Chancellor shall Chair meetings of Council. In the absence of the Pro-Chancellor, a Deputy Pro-Chancellor shall take the Chair. In the absence of the Pro-Chancellor, a Deputy Pro-Chancellor shall take the Chair, and in the event of all 3 lay officers being absent, the Vice-Chancellor shall take the Chair. In the absence of any of these, the meeting of Council shall determine its own Chair for that meeting.
- The Pro-Chancellor or, in their absence, a Deputy Pro-Chancellor, shall have authority to act on behalf of the Council between meetings of the Council and shall report any such action to the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council. Council may also make arrangements for other members of Council to take action on its behalf.

Duties, Responsibilities and Conduct of Members

Members of Council and all University Committees are required to comply and behave in accordance with the Council Code of Practice on Corporate Governance and Related Procedural Matters, including the requirements of the Code in relation to their duties, responsibilities and conduct as members. A member of Council and any University committee may be suspended or removed in accordance with the procedure approved by Council.

Secretary

The Registrar and Secretary shall be the Secretary of the Council. They, or their nominee, shall have the duty of recording the proceedings of Council and of ensuring that its decisions and instructions are carried out.

Quorum, Decision Making and Voting

- The quorum shall be one-third of the current members (rounded up to the nearest whole number) provided always that there is a majority of the lay members present.
- 15 Council and all University committees will proceed and make decisions on the basis of consensus. A formal vote can be held where the Chair deems it appropriate, or if requested by a minimum of one third of the membership.
- In the case where a vote is held, decisions shall be taken by simple majority voting except in the case of special resolutions recommending amendments to

the Charter where, as required by Section 11(2) of the Statutes, the count of members shall be "not less than two-thirds of those present and voting". Voting shall be by show of hands unless the Chair of the meeting, on the advice of the Registrar and Secretary or their nominee, determines to use a different method.

Conduct of Business

- The agenda will normally be organised into preliminary items, strategic items requiring discussion and decision, substantive matters requiring Council's approval and matters for report or for information. Starred items will be approved or received as appropriate without discussion. If any member wishes to have any starred items discussed, they must notify the Secretary at least 48 hours before the meeting.
- Items which refer to individual members of the University, or which are confidential and/or commercially sensitive will be included in the agenda, but marked "Confidential" and/or "Commercial in Confidence". The associated minute shall not be published or circulated, save to members of the Council and to such other members of the University as may be necessary on a "need to know" basis. Requests from others to be provided with the information contained in such minutes must be made in accordance with freedom of information and data protection legislation, and will be dealt with on a 'case-by-case' basis. Members of Council and all University Committees are expected to respect and maintain the confidentiality of papers and discussion at meetings of Council.
- The conduct of business shall be directed by the Chair of the meeting and the Chair's ruling on any point of order shall be final. A member when speaking shall address the Chair. If two or more members speak simultaneously the Chair shall call on one to speak and the other or others shall then be silent. While a member is speaking the other members shall remain silent, unless raising a point of order. In the case of virtual meetings, arrangements for addressing the meeting will be made suitable to the technology being used.
- No decision of the Council shall be rescinded within six calendar months, unless a proposal to reintroduce the subject in question is approved by Council.
- When the Pro-Chancellor deems it to be necessary, urgent business may be conducted between meetings by means of postal or email or other electronic means circulated to members of Council. The Registrar and Secretary or their nominee shall be responsible for ensuring that the decision is reported to the next meeting of Council.

Declaration of Interest

A member who has a direct or indirect interest in an item on the agenda shall declare that interest as soon as practicable after the commencement of the item, and must do so before addressing the meeting. He or she should then judge whether it is appropriate to take part in the consideration or discussion of the matter or to vote on any question with respect to it, and whether it is appropriate to leave the meeting during the consideration of the relevant matter.

Where a member declares an interest, but decides not to withdraw, or where an interest is not declared, notwithstanding it being entered in the Register of Members' Interests, the Pro-Chancellor may remind Council that the member has an interest and may, with the approval of Council, require the member concerned to withdraw until consideration of the matter has been completed. (see also the University's Code of Practice on Corporate Governance and Related Procedural Matters).

Committees

- The Council may appoint standing, substantive or advisory committees, boards and sub-committees for such purposes and with such powers as it thinks fit, may appoint to them persons who are not members of the Council and may make regulations for their proceedings. Subject thereto, each committee, board or sub-committee may regulate its own procedure and may deal with any matters delegated to it.
- Council may suspend or dissolve any standing, substantive or advisory committee, board or sub-committee.
- The Chair of each committee, board or sub-committee of the Council shall be appointed by the Council.
- The Council may delegate authority to Standing Committees or Committees or Special Committees and shall specify the extent of the powers of each such Committee in terms of reference approved by Council for each of its Standing Committee or Committees or Special Committees. In addition to taking such action as may be authorised by its terms of reference, each Substantive Committee or Special Committee shall have authority in case of urgent need between meetings of the Council to take such action as it deems fit provided that the matter concerned falls within the general ambit of its responsibilities and provided also that each and every matter in which such action is taken is reported to the next following meeting of the Council.
- The quorum for each committee, board or sub-committee of the Council shall be not less than one third of the total number of members.
- 29 Except where indicated otherwise in the University Legislation or agreed by Council, appointments to membership of Council and its Committees and their Sub-Committees are made by the Council on the recommendation of the Membership Committee. Council shall determine the membership of joint committees or bodies (such as joint committees or bodies with the Senate).
- 30 Each committee, board or sub-committee may at its discretion propose to co-opt additional members. The Membership Committee of the Council determines co-options to Council Committees and their Sub-Committees.
- 31 Between ordinary meetings of any committee or board the Chair of the committee or board shall have power to act on behalf of the committee or board on any matter requiring an immediate or early decision unless in the opinion of

the Chair the nature or timing of the business is such as to justify the summoning of a special meeting. Where the Chair of a committee or board is in doubt about the course of action s/he should take they shall consult the Registrar and Secretary for advice. Any decision taken by the Chair of the committee or board will be reported to the next meeting of the committee or board.

Suspension of Standing Orders

32 Standing Orders may be suspended by a resolution passed by a two-thirds majority of members present and approving doing so.

Amendments to Standing Orders

- 33 Council may amend these Standing Orders from time to time.
- A copy of these Standing Orders will form part of the Code of Practice on Corporate Governance, which is made available to new Members at induction.

Procedure for Suspension or Removal of Council or Committee Membership

1. Scope

This procedure applies in respect of membership of Council, Senate and any University committee.

2. Grounds for Suspension or Removal of Committee Membership

A member of a committee may be suspended or removed on the grounds of conduct judged to be inappropriate or unacceptable on the part of the member, which by way of illustration only may include (but shall not be limited to) the following:

- (a) conduct (wherever occurring) resulting in the member accepting a formal police caution, community resolution order or other penalty imposed by the police as an alternative to prosecution, where that conduct is of a kind that is judged in all the circumstances to be relevant to the University;
- (b) conviction by any court of competent jurisdiction for a criminal offence, where that offence is judged in all the circumstances to be relevant to the business or reputation of the University:
- (c) investigation by the police in relation to a serious or significant offence;
- investigation by the University, the Guild of Students or any other competent authority or regulatory body under any disciplinary, misconduct or similar procedure in relation to a serious or significant matter;
- (d) persistent failure or refusal to perform some or all of the duties or comply with some or all of the conditions and behaviours attaching to membership of the committee;
- (e) breach of any obligation or duty arising under any of the University's regulations or any other rules, regulations or codes binding on the member regarding financial matters, harassment, equal opportunities, public interest disclosure, health and safety, freedom of speech or data protection;
- (f) violent, indecent, disorderly, threatening, abusive, insulting or harassing behaviour or language (whether written, spoken or in any other form), deception or dishonesty;

- (g) failing to maintain the confidentiality of papers by divulging information or material received in confidence:
- (h) failure to disclose a conflict of interest which has a material impact on the conduct of University business.

The chair of the committee concerned should normally raise with the member the conduct giving rise to concern and consider whether other action might resolve the concern before the procedure for suspension or removal is instigated, unless the chair considers it inappropriate or impractical to do so in the circumstances of the case.

3. Procedure for suspension and removal

- 3.1 A member of Council or of its sub-committees (SPRC, Audit Committee, Remuneration Committee, Membership Committee) may be removed by Council and replaced with a person of the same class or category of membership on the recommendation of the Membership Committee. The Pro-Chancellor (or his or her nominee) will bring the case to the Membership Committee, having consulted with the chair of the committee concerned.
- 3.2 In the case of Senate and its sub-committees, or other University committees, Council has delegated its power to suspend or remove a member of the committee to the Vice-Chancellor. The Vice-Chancellor will consult with the chair of the committee in question, except in the case of Senate when they will consult with the Pro-Chancellor. The Vice-Chancellor will report the use of this power to the next meeting of Council.
- 3.3 A member will normally be offered the opportunity to make written representations before a decision is reached to remove him or her from a committee.
- 3.4 The Registrar and Secretary (or his nominee) will notify the member of the decision (including reasons if the decision is suspension or removal, and the duration of any suspension) as soon as practicable; if notification is given orally, the Registrar and Secretary shall as soon as practicable confirm the decision in writing.
- 3.5 The Registrar and Secretary (or his nominee) will notify the chair of the relevant committee of the decision as soon as possible and the chair will inform the other members of the committee. The decision will be reported to the next meeting of Council and the relevant committee.

4. Effect of suspension or removal

4.1 A person suspended from a committee shall not be entitled to receive agendas, minutes or other documentation or information relating to the business of the committee for the duration of the suspension. The member may be required to

- return by a specified date any or all documents, materials or other resources provided by the University for the purpose membership of the committee
- 4.2 A person removed from a committee shall not be entitled to receive agendas, minutes or other documentation or information relating to the business of the committee and may be required by the committee to return by a specified date any documentation, information or other resources provided to him or her in relation to his or her membership of the committee and may be required to return by a specified date any or all documents, materials or other resources provided by the University for the purpose of or membership of the committee.
- 4.3 Suspension or removal of a member from a committee does not remove or affect in any way a member's responsibility to maintain the confidentiality of papers received as a member of a committee.
- 4.4 A person removed from Council or a committee may not be re-appointed or may not stand for re-election (as appropriate) to Council or the committee until at least 12 months have elapsed from the decision to remove.

The University of Birmingham Code of Ethics

Ethics at Birmingham

The University of Birmingham is committed to the highest standards of ethical conduct in all our activities. Each member of the University community — staff (including honorary, emeritus and visiting staff), students, members of Council and other University committees — has a responsibility to act ethically and in accordance with the Nolan Committee's principles for public life: Selflessness, Integrity, Objectivity, Accountability, Openness, Honesty and Leadership.

The University has recognised and acted upon the importance of ethical values since our establishment in 1900. The values, which guide our approach to ethics, are embedded within the University's Charter, Statutes, Ordinances, Regulations, Codes of Practice and Policies ("University Legislation").

The University Legislation encompasses well-established ethical policies and procedures relating to our core activities of teaching, research, knowledge transfer, fundraising, investments and procurement. These are kept under regular review. In light of the Woolf Report regarding events at the London School of Economics, a review of our overall approach to ethics was undertaken. This review concluded that a Code of Ethics should be produced to draw together the University's existing ethical policies in these core areas of activity in a single overarching document. This document is that Code.

The Code of Ethics structure

This Code of Practice is designed to provide an accessible, overarching guide to ethical conduct which is grounded in four core activities: teaching, research and knowledge transfer, fundraising and gifts, and finance (investments and procurement). This Code outlines the approach for each of these areas and gives links to more detailed information, including: related policies, procedures, guidance documents, and point of contact for initial enquiries.

The University's Executive Board ("UEB") has an over-arching responsibility to Council to keep this Code under review. However, for each of the four areas, detailed ethical matters and processes, including the detailed consideration of ethical cases, rest with the University committees and sub-committees listed below:

University Council University Executive Board Strategy, Planning and Research Senate & sub-Resources Committee / Committee & committees Investment Subsub-committees Committee Teaching and Research, KT, **Fundraising and** Finance: **Teaching** Consultancy and Gifts **Investments Collaborations Business Engagement** Director of and Pro-Vice-Pro-Vice-Chancellor Development and Procurement Chancellor **Chief Financial** (Research) **Alumni Relations** (Education) Officer

Figure 1: Governance structure within this Code of Ethics

A member of the University's senior leadership is responsible for ethical issues in each of the four areas (as per Fig.1). Their responsibilities include:

- Management, implementation and communication of ethical policies and procedures;
- Ensuring due diligence is undertaken on ethical issues;
- Escalating ethical issues within the University through to the relevant committees (including UEB on particularly difficult issues);
- Ensuring appropriate training is undertaken on ethical issues; and
- Keeping the ethical policy and procedures in their area under review and bringing forward any changes for approval.

The University recognises that ethical queries can arise in a variety of circumstances. In most instances, ethical matters can be dealt with through one of the four areas. A contact is given later in the Code for each area, who can be approached for advice on how to deal with a particular issue.

It is the responsibility of all members of the University to act ethically. If in doubt about how to resolve an ethical dilemma, the following guidance for individuals on decision-making will help form the basis for good ethical behaviour.

Revised by Council 24th April 2018 (plus changes in post titles and holders updated since then)

The Code of Ethics in Practice

In addition to the processes outlined in this Code for ethical issues within the core activity areas, every member of the University is expected to act with integrity in their work. Good ethical conduct is not an isolated response to a specific problem but the process of making effective choices from day-to-day.

When dealing with a situation with ethical implications, you should consider the following questions and guidance:

- Are you confident that your decision is the correct action?
- Could you happily justify your behaviour to your manager or a colleague or friend if asked?
- What would your actions look like if publicised in the media?
- What could go wrong as a result of your decision for you, your colleagues (staff and students), other stakeholders or the wider University?

Another perspective can often help to determine the way forward. So, if you are concerned, postpone any action until you have obtained further advice. Talk to your Line Manager and discuss the situation.

Is it your decision to make?

It is not feasible for an individual to be an expert in every single field of activity. Please check this Code of Ethics and the University's website to see if there is specific University Legislation or guidance that relates to your enquiry. It should not be assumed that there is nothing in place.

Where University Legislation or guidance do exist, please direct the enquiry to the appropriate contact specified in the relevant document to take forward. If in doubt, please contact the University Officer highlighted in each core activity section in this Code as the first point of enquiry for ethical issues in that area.

Handling complex ethical questions

Individuals with complex ethical questions that cannot be addressed through the guidance and discussion with the relevant contacts should refer to their Line Manager or Supervisor in the first instance. If, due to the nature of the issue, it is still unclear what next steps should be taken then the Registrar's Office should be contacted to provide advice on the appropriate individuals to consult.

Code of Practice on Public Interest Disclosure and Whistleblowing

The <u>Code of Practice on Public Interest Disclosure and Whistleblowing</u> may be used if the complex ethical question is not covered by the processes and links to the relevant University documents in this Code. This is designed to allow staff, students and all members of University bodies (eg University Committees) to raise, at high level, concerns or information which they believe in good faith provides evidence of malpractice or impropriety. Individuals discovering apparent evidence of malpractice, impropriety or wrongdoing within the University should feel able to disclose the information appropriately without fear of reprisal. A person making a disclosure in good faith, without malice, and in accordance with this Policy will not be penalised

for doing so. This Policy is intended to be used to raise matters of general concern in the public interest.

This University Code of Ethics is available: on the University's website, to all new employees as part of the induction process, to all students and third parties upon request.

1 Teaching and Teaching Collaborations

The University Senate has a general responsibility to the University Council for regulating teaching, including examining and the award of University qualifications. In practice, detailed ethical aspects of teaching are delegated to other University committees, notably Senate subcommittees, such as the University Education Committee. The senior member of University staff responsible for ethical matters in teaching and teaching collaborations is the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Education).

1.1 Promoting equality

The University of Birmingham is committed to an inclusive learning environment that enables students to reach their full potential, in accordance with the University's Legislation and its <u>Equality Scheme</u>. This accords with the duties under the Equality Act 2010 to treat students, staff and visitors to the University with dignity and respect, not to unlawfully discriminate as set out in the Equality Act, and to promote good campus relations and equal opportunities.

1.2 Fair admissions

The University of Birmingham was England's first civic university where students were accepted on academic merit regardless of religion, race, gender or background. This is enshrined in the University Charter. The Senate has overall responsibility for ensuring fair access to the University and ethical issues relating to admissions are resolved through the <u>Code of Practice on the Admission of Students</u>, which includes a review process.

1.3 Academic quality and progression

The University is committed to excellent scholarship on the basis of academic integrity, honesty and respect for the intellectual activity of others. Our education policy is led by the University Education Committee which reports to Senate and its sub-committees responsible for access and progress, quality assurance; student academic engagement and collaborative provision. The ethical aspects of programmes are considered as part of the Programme approval process.

Students' academic progression is based on academic achievement. Student scholastic work is expected to demonstrate a level of independent thought and the provision of clear referencing to all sources consulted. The University reserves the right to use all legitimate means at its disposal to detect plagiarism wherever it arises and to take the appropriate action in response to the identification of any misconduct (please refer to the Code of Practice on Academic Integrity for full details of this process). Guidance, in respect to plagiarism and other forms of academic misconduct, is available through Schools and the Academic Services website.

1.4 Student feedback and representation

The University's system for student representation and feedback encourage students to become responsible partners in their education, providing them with opportunities for active involvement in, and ownership of, their learning. Elected Student Representatives from all subject areas, undergraduate and postgraduate, are given responsibility for reporting on student issues within their subject to University staff and to the Guild of Students. This allows ethical concerns regarding the subject or method of teaching to be raised at an early stage and at the appropriate level. The respective responsibilities and expectations are clarified in the Student Charter and the Code of Practice on the Student Representation System.

1.5 Teaching collaboration

The Collaborative Provision Sub-Committee carefully scrutinises and, where appropriate, approves academic collaborations with other universities and organisations which support the University's strategic aims and bring benefits to those involved, and are not discriminatory. Due diligence is explicitly carried out with regard to collaboration provision arrangements in order to ensure they are equivalent in quality and standards (student experience as well as academic content) to comparable awards delivered solely by the University, and are compatible with any relevant external quality benchmark standards. Ethical issues involving teaching collaborations are considered as part of this process.

For more details on Teaching and Teaching Collaboration, please see

- Academic Services Codes of Practice, Policies and Guidance
- Code of Practice on Admission of Students
- Equality Scheme
- Code of Practice on Academic Integrity
- Code of Practice for Research
- Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech on Campus
- Data Protection Policy
- General Conditions of Use of Computing and Network Facilities
- Student Charter
- Policy on Collaborative Provision
- Fairness and Diversity Policy
- Safeguarding Children and Young People Policy
- Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy
- Information Security Policy

Please contact the Director of the Registry and Education Support if there are any questions that cannot be addressed through the policies above.

2 Research, Knowledge Transfer (KT), Consultancy and Business Engagement

The University Research Committee maintains oversight of ethical issues in research, primarily through the Research Governance, Ethics and Integrity Committee (RGEIC). In turn, RGEIC coordinates and receives reports from specialist subject Ethical Review Committees, BERSC (which covers animal research), the Clinical Trials Oversight Committee, and the Human Tissue Act Coordination Group: bodies which undertake detailed consideration of the ethics and governance of research.

'Knowledge Transfer' occurs in many forms throughout the University and University ethical matters in this field are also overseen by the Research Committee. This recognises that Knowledge Transfer is a natural consequence and part of the translation of Research ideas into the community. Ethical activities specifically relating to development and commercialisation of intellectual property, personal academic consultancy and spin out companies are channelled through the University's wholly owned subsidiary company, University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd. University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd's ethical policies and processes are aligned to the University's Legislation.

The senior member of University staff responsible for ethics and integrity matters in research, KT and consultancy is the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research), who chairs the Research Committee and the RGEIC, and also attends the University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd Board.

2.1 Academic freedom

Academic Freedom is an important consideration in ethical matters relating to research. The institutional commitment to academic freedom is enshrined in the University legislation: "To ensure that members of Academic Staff have freedom within the law to question and test received wisdom, and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions, without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their job or privileges."

2.2 Original, significant and rigorous research

The conduct of research is covered by the University's <u>Code of Practice for Research</u>. Staff must be honest, accountable and lawful in respect of their own research as well as that of their students and others working with them on research. Responsible ethical conduct is expected in all aspects of research including applying for funding, experimental design, generating and analysing data, using equipment and facilities, publishing results, and acknowledging the direct and indirect contribution of colleagues, collaborators and others.

All research is required to undergo the appropriate research ethics review process. For staff and postgraduate students, this process is managed by the relevant specialist subject Ethical Review committees reporting into RGEIC. This approach promotes best research practice, takes account of subject-specific issues, and secures the interests and welfare of research participants, researchers and other stakeholders.

Where research falls within specific regulatory and legislative frameworks (e.g. the Department of Health Research Governance Framework, the Human Tissue Act 2004, the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2005, or the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986), staff and students are required to comply with the relevant regulatory requirements and the University's specific ethical processes managed by specialist committees which report into

RGEIC. Staff and students affected by these requirements should contact the Research Governance and Ethics Team for support in the first instance.

2.3 Legal and financial protection

Staff must ensure that all externally funded research and KT activities are administered through the correct University channels, and locally based College Research Support Offices are positioned to guide and support academic colleagues through these procedures. This critical step ensures that all externally funded activity is safely established with the relevant legal and financial protection for all parties.

The central Research Support Group (including Contracts, Research Finance, and Research Governance and Ethics teams) is responsible for approving all financial and contractual agreements relating to University research and knowledge transfer activities as appropriate. University of Birmingham Enterprise Ltd supports personal consultancy, IP licensing and spin out activities.

2.4 Personal consultancy and outside activities

Academic staff wishing to engage in outside activities (e.g. personal consultancy) must first obtain authority to do so from their Head of School and provide such information as required to enable a decision on this matter to be made. The details of this process are set out in the University's <u>Outside Activities Policy</u>.

Individuals are expected to consider their integrity and accountability as an employee of the institution before submitting requests to undertake private work. Particular note will be taken of the University's Protocols on Conflicts of Interest.

2.5 Business Engagement

The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research) is the senior member of staff responsible for ethical review of Business Engagement. Prior to signing a contract for a partnership with business or prior to the acceptance of industry research funding, a due diligence process is conducted using ethical review criteria. The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research) will be notified by the Director of Business Engagement of any case of concern and will be responsible for determining whether or not the partnership is pursued or whether the industry research funding is accepted. However, complex ethical cases may be referred by the Pro-Vice-Chancellor to UEB or Council (via UEB) for further consideration and determination.

For more information relating to Research and Knowledge Transfer, please see the following related documents:

- Code of Practice for Research
- Outside Activities Policy
- Research Ethics Review process
- Research Ethics Resources
- Use of Animals in Research Policy
- Data Protection Policy
- Information Security Policy
- General Conditions of Use of Computing and Network Facilities
- Code of Practice on Academic Integrity
- Safeguarding Children and Young People Policy
- Protocols on Conflicts of Interest
- Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech on Campus
- Standard Operating Procedure for Clinical Trial Management

Please contact the Research Ethics, Governance and Integrity Team if there are any questions that cannot be addressed through the policies above.

Fundraising and Gifts

3.1 Acceptance of all philanthropic funding by the University of Birmingham is managed by the Development and Alumni Relations Office (DARO).

The <u>Ethical Guidelines for Fundraising</u> are publically available on the University website and outline the basis on which the University will accept donations. This includes whether the funding is aligned with the University's strategic mission and whether there is any evidence of fraud, human rights issues, or illegal activities which would damage the University's reputation.

All philanthropic funding must be approved by DARO and appropriate due diligence will be undertaken in advance of acceptance of this funding. The Vice-Chancellor will be notified of any case of concern by the Director of Development and Alumni Relations and the Vice-Chancellor will be responsible for determining whether or not the funding is accepted. However, complex ethical cases may be referred by the Vice-Chancellor to UEB or Council (via UEB) for further consideration and determination. The University reserves the right to decline philanthropic funding which has not been approved by the Vice-Chancellor and/or which is of risk to the University's academic work, reputation, intellectual property or finances.

The University Executive Board (UEB) has oversight of fundraising and gifts on behalf of Council but complex ethical cases in this area can be escalated to Council. The senior member of University staff responsible for ethical matters in fundraising and gifts is the Director of Development and Alumni Relations.

3.2 All donations will be used to support the educational mission of the University

The University of Birmingham is an 'exempt' educational charity (essentially this means exempt from registration with the Charity Commission), whose principal charity regulator is the Office for Students. The University is required to comply with general charitable law, and to act within its objects for the advancement of education (which includes matters such as research, knowledge advancement, impact, and scholarships). A statement on fundraising and the impact of philanthropic donations is presented in the University's Annual Report.

3.3 Transparent and responsible fundraising

The University's fundraisers are trained and monitored to focus upon the supporter relationship as the priority, and commit to ethical standards of behaviour via the <u>Donor Promise</u>. Any complaints on fundraising activity are processed in accordance with the University's Fundraising Complaints Policy. The University is also registered with the Fundraising Regulator and abides by their Code of Practice.

3.4 All donor information is confidentially and carefully stored and managed

All donor records maintained by the University are kept confidential. Donors have the right to see their own donor record, and to challenge its accuracy. The University will not sell either its donor or alumni lists. The Ethical Guidelines for Fundraising, which are distributed to donors and are publicly available, set out these commitments. Details of how individual data is stored and managed by the University to deliver its relationships with alumni, fundraising prospects, donors, and volunteers are provided in the DARO Data Protection Policy.

For more details on Fundraising and Gifts, please see the following related documents:

- Donor Charter & Ethical Guidelines for Fundraising
- Donor Promise
- Key Charitable Information on the University of Birmingham
- Register of Gifts and Hospitality
- Protocols on Conflicts of Interest
- Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy
- Data Protection Policy
- Information Security Policy
- Fundraising Regulator

Please contact the Director of Development and Alumni Relations if there are any questions that cannot be addressed through the policies above.

3 Finance: Investments and Procurement

General oversight of ethical issues in University finance is the responsibility of the Strategy, Planning and Resources Committee of Council. It is supported by an Investment Sub-Committee, which is responsible for detailed matters of investment and considers the ethical implications of such choices. The senior member of University staff responsible for ethical issues in finance is the Chief Financial Officer.

The University of Birmingham uses our funds in alignment with our charitable status and ethical principles.

4.1 Honest and transparent business operations

It is University policy that all staff, students and agents working on behalf of the University conduct business without the use of corrupt practices or acts of bribery to obtain an unfair advantage.

All staff, members of Council and University committees are required to recognise and declare activities or circumstances that might give rise to conflicts of interest or the perception of conflicts as it first arises (these declarations are retained on the University's Register of Interests), and to ensure that such conflicts are seen to be properly managed. Discussions should be held with the individual's Line Manager or Supervisor where questions exist.

It is an offence under the Bribery Act 2010 for members of staff, student or University committees to accept, offer or give corruptly any gift or consideration (including hospitality) as an inducement or reward. Giving or receiving gifts, entertainment or hospitality in matters connected with University business is acceptable provided they fall within reasonable bounds of value and occurrence and are registered in accordance with the Gifts and Hospitality Policy. All members of the University community must risk assess the vulnerability of their activities to accusations of bribery and corruption, particularly overseas activities, on a continuous basis. Concerns should be raised in accordance with the University's Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy.

The University does not tolerate modern slavery and is committed to implementing and enforcing effective systems and controls to minimise the risk of modern slavery taking place anywhere in the University, its activities, its supply chains or through its collaborative partners, in accordance with the requirements of the Modern Slavery Act 2015. Further details are provided in the Anti-Slavery and Human Trafficking Policy and the annual Anti-Slavery and Human Trafficking Statement.

4.2 Socially Responsible Investment.

The University's Investment Sub-Committee reviews the institutional financial investment policy and underlying strategy on an annual basis and takes ethical considerations into account in making investment decisions.

As an educational charity, the University must be mindful of securing the effective use of its funds to ensure its financial sustainability. The University's investment policy excludes direct investment by/in the tobacco industry.

4.3 Procurement

Expenditure of University funds must be administered with the highest levels of probity and transparency with all parties responsible for securing the best value for money in purchases. To our best endeavours, the University ensures that our chosen suppliers also abide by standards of ethical conduct that satisfy our requirements and are in line with our <u>Procurement Strategy</u>.

The Procurement Office undertakes due diligence, which includes consideration of reputational and ethical considerations as part of the contracting process, raising issues with the Chief Financial Officer as necessary.

For more details on Finance: Investments and Procurement, please see the following related documents:

- Manual of Financial Rules
- Procurement Strategy
- Code of Practice on Corporate Governance
- Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy
- Gifts and Hospitality Policy, including the Register of Gifts
- Annual Modern Slavery and Human Trafficking Statement

Please contact the Chief Financial Officer if there are any questions that cannot be addressed through the policies above.