Max-plus automata and Tropical identities

Laure Daviaud University of Warwick

Birmingham, 15-11-2017

Matrices vs machines...

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Matrices \ over} \\ (\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\},\mathsf{max},+) \end{array}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 0&-\infty&-\infty\ -\infty&1&-\infty\ -\infty&-\infty&0 \end{pmatrix} \ egin{pmatrix} 0&0&-\infty\ -\infty&-\infty&0\ -\infty&-\infty&0\ -\infty&-\infty&0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Matrices over} \\ (\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}, \mbox{max}, +) \end{array}$



$$egin{pmatrix} 0&-\infty&-\infty\ -\infty&1&-\infty\ -\infty&-\infty&0 \end{pmatrix} \ egin{pmatrix} 0&0&-\infty\ -\infty&-\infty&0\ -\infty&-\infty&0\ -\infty&-\infty&0 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$



Finite alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ Set of words A^* : finite sequences of a and b

Finite alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ Set of words A^* : finite sequences of a and b

Check if a word has at least two b's.

Finite alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ Set of words A^* : finite sequences of a and b

Check if a word has at least two b's.



Finite alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ Set of words A^* : finite sequences of a and b

Check if a word has at least two b's.



A word is *accepted* by the automaton if there is a path labelled by the word from an initial state to a final state.

In this case $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = 0$, otherwise $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = -\infty$.

Finite alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ Set of words A^* : finite sequences of a and b

Check if a word has at least two b's.



A word is *accepted* by the automaton if there is a path labelled by the word from an initial state to a final state.

In this case $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = 0$, otherwise $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = -\infty$.

 \rightarrow Quantitative extension: Weighted automata [Schützenberger, 61]





Syntax : Non deterministic finite automaton for which each transition is labelled by a non negative integer (weight).



Syntax : Non deterministic finite automaton for which each transition is labelled by a non negative integer (weight).

Semantic : Weight of a run: sum of the weights of the transitions. $A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$ $w \mapsto Max$ of the weights of the accepting runs labelled by w $(-\infty \text{ if no such run})$



Syntax : Non deterministic finite automaton for which each transition is labelled by a non negative integer (weight).

Semantic : Weight of a run: sum of the weights of the transitions. $A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$ $w \mapsto \text{Max of the weights of the accepting runs labelled by } w$ $(-\infty \text{ if no such run})$

 $a^{n_0}ba^{n_1}b\cdots ba^{n_{k+1}}\mapsto \max(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$











 $\mu(w)_{i,j} = \max$ of the weights of the runs from i to j labelled by w $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = I \mu(w) F$



 $\mu(w)_{i,j} = \max$ of the weights of the runs from i to j labelled by w $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = I \mu(w) F$

 $\mathsf{Dimension} = \mathsf{Number of states}$

Decidability and complexity

- . Equivalence [Krob]
- . Boundedness [Simon]
- . Determinisation [Kirsten, Klimann, Lombardy, Mairesse, Prieur]
- Minimisation

• • • •

A natural and fundamental question:



Which pairs of inputs can be distinguished by a given computational model?

Semiring $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +)$ $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : w \mapsto \max_{\substack{\rho \text{ accepting path} \\ \text{labelled by } w}} \left(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_{|w|} \right)$$

 $\mathcal{C}:$ class of the max-plus automata

Semiring $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +)$ $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : w \mapsto \max_{\substack{\rho \text{ accepting path} \\ \text{labelled by } w}} \left(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_{|w|} \right)$$

 $\mathcal{C}:$ class of the max-plus automata

1 For all $u \neq v$, is there $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ which distinguishes u and v? \rightarrow Yes

Semiring $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +)$ $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : w \mapsto \max_{\substack{\rho \text{ accepting path} \\ \text{labelled by } w}} \left(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_{|w|} \right)$$

 $\mathcal{C}:$ class of the max-plus automata

- 1 For all $u \neq v$, is there $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ which distinguishes u and v? \rightarrow Yes
- 2 Is there $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ which distinguishes all pairs $u \neq v$? $\rightarrow \mathsf{No}$

Semiring $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +)$ $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : w \mapsto \max_{\substack{\rho \text{ accepting path} \\ \text{labelled by } w}} \left(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_{|w|} \right)$$

 $\mathcal{C}:$ class of the max-plus automata

- 1 For all $u \neq v$, is there $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ which distinguishes u and v? \rightarrow Yes
- 2 Is there $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ which distinguishes all pairs $u \neq v$? $\rightarrow \mathsf{No}$
- 3 Minimal size to distinguish two given input words? \rightarrow ??????

```
Given a positive integer n,
are there u \neq v such that
for all max-plus automata A with at most n states:
```

 $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(u) = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(v) \quad ?$

```
Given a positive integer n,
are there u \neq v such that
for all max-plus automata A with at most n states:
```

 $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(u) = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(v) \quad ?$

For matrices:

Given a dimension n, does there exists a non trivial identity for the semigroup of square matrices of dimension n?

If n = 1



If n = 1





Max-plus automata with one state can distinguish words with different contents (in particular different lengths), and only these ones.

There exist pairs of distinct words with the same values for all automata with at most 3 states...

There exist pairs of distinct words with the same values for all automata with at most 3 states...

2 states [Izhakian, Margolis] - words of length 20

There exist pairs of distinct words with the same values for all automata with at most 3 states...

2 states [Izhakian, Margolis] - words of length 20

3 states [Shitov] - words of length 1795308

Triangular automata



Triangular automata



Theorem [Izhakian]

For all *n*, there exist a pair of distinct words $u \neq v$ such that for all triangular automata A with at most *n* states,

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(u) = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(v)$$

Triangular automata



Theorem [Izhakian]

For all *n*, there exist a pair of distinct words $u \neq v$ such that for all triangular automata A with at most *n* states,

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(u) = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(v)$$

For n = 2, exactly the identities for the bicyclic monoid [D., Johnson, Kambites]

 $A = \{a, b\}$



 $A = \{a, b\}$



Theorem [D., Johnson] - counter-example to a conjecture of Izhakian There are two pairs of distinct words of minimal length which cannot be distinguished by any max-plus automata with two states:

 $a^2b^3a^3babab^3a^2 = a^2b^3ababa^3b^3a^2$ and $ab^3a^4baba^2b^3a = ab^3a^2baba^4b^3a$

 $A = \{a, b\}$



 $A = \{a, b\}$



 $A = \{a, b\}$



$${\scriptstyle \bullet} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

 $A = \{a, b\}$



- . $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Complete automaton

 $A = \{a, b\}$



- . $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Complete automaton
- . Only one initial and one final states

 $A = \{a, b\}$



- . $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Complete automaton
- . Only one initial and one final states
- . Reduce the number of parameters

 $A = \{a, b\}$



First attempt: Restrict the class of automata we have to consider

- . $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Complete automaton
- . Only one initial and one final states
- . Reduce the number of parameters

Second attempt: Give a list of criteria which can be checked

List of criteria

. First and last blocks



- . First and last blocks
- Block-permutation



List of criteria

- . First and last blocks
- Block-permutation
- . "Counting modulo 2" criteria



- . First and last blocks
- Block-permutation
- . "Counting modulo 2" criteria
- . Triangular automata with two states