Illicit Markets and Targeted Violence in Afghanistan

Ana Paula Oliveira

1. Analyst at the Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime
All correspondence to: ana.oliveira@globalinitiative.net
Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Nicole Kalczynski, who contributed the preliminary research for this paper, and also to Nina Kaysser and Antônio Sampaio for their support and input on the draft. The author also thanks Tuesday Reitano and Mark Shaw for their guidance and support in the Assassination Witness programme and research; and John Collins for his guidance, encouragement and invaluable support throughout the preparation of this paper.

Suggested citation


About the author

Ana Paula Oliveira is an Analyst at the Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime. She coordinates the Assassination Witness programme and conducts research with a particular focus on assassinations and disappearances. Before this, she practised at a law firm focusing on public law litigation. Ana Paula holds an LL.M cum laude in International Law from the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies in Geneva, an LL.M in Public Law and an MBA in International Relations from Fundação Getúlio Vargas in Rio de Janeiro.
About SOC ACE

The Serious Organised Crime & Anti-Corruption Evidence (SOC ACE) research programme aims to help ‘unlock the black box of political will’ for tackling serious organised crime, illicit finance and transnational corruption through research that informs politically feasible, technically sound interventions and strategies. Funded by the UK’s Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), SOC ACE is a new component in the Anti-Corruption Evidence (ACE) research programme, alongside Global Integrity ACE and SOAS ACE. SOC ACE is managed by the University of Birmingham, working in collaboration with a number of leading research organisations and through consultation and engagement with key stakeholders.

SOC ACE is funded by the UK Foreign, Commonwealth, & Development Office. The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the UK Government’s official policies.

© Crown Copyright 2022.

Find out more

https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/schools/government/departments/international-development/research/soc-ace/index.aspx

Follow us on Twitter: @SOCACE_research

SOC ACE
University of Birmingham
Birmingham
B15 2TT
United Kingdom
# Contents

**Acronyms and abbreviations** 5  
**Summary** 6  
**1.  Introduction** 7  
**2.  Violence as a Proxy to Understand Illicit Markets** 9  
2.1.  The GI-TOC approach to track assassinations and contract killings: Methodology and definitions 10  
2.2.  Recent wave of targeted killings and violence in Afghanistan: Trends and figures 12  
2.3.  The added value in monitoring violence related to illicit economies in the case of Afghanistan 15  
**3.  Conclusion** 17  
**4.  References** 18
# Acronyms and abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
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<th>Acronym</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GI-TOC</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>IED</td>
<td>Improvised explosive device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBT</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATO</td>
<td>North Atlantic Treaty Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNAMA</td>
<td>United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

Afghanistan experienced a marked rise in violent crimes, including kidnappings and armed robbery in 2021. The reported increase in targeted attacks against civilians in the country, specifically regarding women human rights defenders and media workers, had already raised concerns in the period preceding the Taliban takeover. These events and the changing nature of the killings—from widespread casualties to targeted violence—underscored the need for a nuanced examination of the different ways conflict and crime converge to create conditions that incentivise violent actors and instability.

This paper looks at these issues through the lens of illicit market violence in Afghanistan. It explores its potential as a key proxy to project current and future trends of other illicit and criminal market development in the country. The paper suggests a framework for further research to examine the evolution of illicit markets in Afghanistan by using a methodologically sound proxy indicator of such violence. First, it draws on a literature review on violence related to illicit markets and presents the methodology developed by the Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime (GI-TOC) to research assassinations. Second, the paper undertakes a focused literature review on targeted violence in Afghanistan, focusing particularly on the 2020–2021 period. The variables taken from the GI-TOC methodology are applied to the literature review to map recent trends using targeted killings and other metrics of illicit market violence. It presents a preliminary analysis of how targeted violence could be used to inform the analysis of illicit economies and its shifts in Afghanistan.
1. Introduction

On 5 November 2021, the body of Frozan Safi, an activist and economics lecturer, was found riddled with bullets in what may have been the first violent death of a women’s rights defender since the Taliban came to power in August 2021 (Ferris-Rotman & Nader, 2021). The issue of targeted attacks against civilians in Afghanistan, specifically regarding women human rights defenders and media workers, had already raised concerns about the use of violence against non-combatants in the period preceding the Taliban takeover. There was also a marked rise in violent crimes in 2021, including kidnappings and armed robbery taking place in cities, despite no developments in the country’s ongoing conflict. The changing nature of the killings—from widespread casualties to targeted violence—and the rise of violent crime underscored the need for a much closer examination of the ways in which conflict and crime converge to create conditions that incentivise actors and instability.

The relationship between violence, political economy and illicit markets is complex, and ranges in scope and scale across country or market-specific conditions. A useful starting point is to recognise that violence is a key element of governance conducted by criminal actors or armed groups. The paper uses the term ‘armed groups’ or actors to refer to non-state armed groups whose main goal is not material gain through criminality. They may engage in a broad range of illicit activities that are not exclusive to a single market and operate outside the purview of traditional justice systems, often carrying out assassinations to protect their political, financial, or territorial interests.

This paper looks at the issue of illicit market violence in Afghanistan and its potential use as a key proxy for project current and future trends of other illicit and criminal market development in the country. It suggests a framework for further research, examining the evolution of illicit markets in Afghanistan by using a methodologically sound proxy indicator of violence related to such markets. First, the paper draws on a literature review on illicit markets violence and on the Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime (GI-TOC)’s methodology to research assassinations. This methodology was designed to better understand the assassinations market as a means of criminal governance and service provided in the context of illicit economies.

Second, the paper presents a literature review on targeted violence in Afghanistan, looking particularly at the 2020–2021 period. The literature is admittedly limited due to its reliance on western media sources and grey literature, such as human rights civil society organizations (CSOs) and United Nations (UN) reports. The variables taken from the GI-TOC methodology are applied to the literature review to map recent trends using targeted killings and other metrics of illicit market violence. It presents a preliminary analysis of how targeted violence could be factored in the analysis of illicit economies and its shifts in Afghanistan.

The paper does not offer an in-depth investigation of violence across different illicit markets in Afghanistan, but rather seeks to raise questions on whether the variables to monitor assassinations could be used to better understand the complexities of illicit
economies in Afghanistan and how to address them. Closely monitoring violence related to illicit economies aims to shed light on disputes between criminal groups, internal struggles among power brokers, and shifts in the conflict between the previous government and insurgents. The suggested analytical lens is part of a broader political economy framework for understanding the contemporary and evolving dynamics of illicit markets in the country.

Understanding the motives of the perpetrators of these crimes, and specifically assassinations, may offer greater insights into the country’s shifting socio-political and socio-economic landscape. In this sense, monitoring targeted acts of violence may be a useful proxy for mapping the evolving dynamics of illicit economies and criminal actors operating at a national and regional scale.
2. Violence as a Proxy to Understand Illicit Markets

When discussing whether illicit markets are inherently more violent than licit markets, many scholars default to a combination of responses that Naylor summarises as ‘maybe, but it depends’ (Naylor, 2009, pp. 231–242). Generally, criminal actors who are involved in illicit activities or markets cannot rely on traditional protections offered by contract enforcement or the justice system (Chimeli & Soares, 2017, pp. 30–32). Therefore, they resort to violence as a means to enforce contracts and, in many ways, to shape and regulate illicit markets.

This concept underpins the majority of studies that seek to explain the relationship between violence and illicit economies. Alternative explanations usually point to deteriorating state capacities and poor rule of law, which lead to instances of chronic violence or evidence of illicit markets occurring at the same time—but with no clear causal relationship between the two (Chimeli & Soares, 2017).

Illicit markets are often associated with violence, the degree and scale of which vary across and within all markets (Andreas & Wallman, 2009, pp. 225–229). In this regard, the literature has highlighted the importance of distinguishing between ‘organized criminal activities in which violence or the threat of violence (coercion) is inherent and organized criminal activities in which violence is attendant or supportive but not essential to the activities themselves’ (Williams, 2009, pp. 323–336).

Drugs-related markets generally display the highest levels of violence among illicit economies, but there is also variation—for example, cocaine markets have been found to be more violent than cannabis markets.¹ Further, country-specific conditions may influence levels of violence within the same drug market—causing high levels in one country and none in another (Naylor, 2009, pp. 231–242). Moreover, even within countries and localities, the levels of violence vary significantly. For example, recent studies on the relationship between high opium prices and violence in Afghanistan concluded that the rise in prices of the illicit commodity had little effect on levels of violence; conversely, however, higher levels of violence were found to increase drug prices (Bove & Elia, 2013; Gehring et al., 2018).

Generally, transactions between or within criminal organisations, as opposed to individual actors, may prove to be less violent, since these are likely to form part of ‘longer-term relationships’ and thereby enable or depend upon trust (Reuter, 2009, pp. 275–284). Conversely, violence may stem from competition, whether territorial (such as turf disputes or trafficking routes), over market share or strategically based on (access to) corruption.

¹ See, for example, Pacula et al. (2013) on improving the measurement of drug-related crime.
Perhaps a key feature is that violence is a central element of governance conducted by criminal actors or armed groups. It is broadly recognised that crime and conflict converge in various ways, mutually reinforcing and sustaining one another. Scholars have examined how armed groups often see criminal activity as a strategic instrument to exploit conflict (Ruggiero, 2019). Conflict-affected settings create a demand for illicit goods, such as firearms, and other services. On the other hand, criminal groups will seek to profit from their relationship with other actors engaging in conflict. These interactions propel the emergence of violent entrepreneurs, a label used by Shaw and Mahadevan to identify the ‘spectrum of actors that operate along the continuum of crime and terror’ and that ‘use the tools of illicit violence, economy, and political ideology to achieve social, financial or political ends’ (Shaw & Mahadevan, 2018).

Violence, then, plays a particular role in crime–conflict settings, as a means of regulating these markets, resolving disputes, and gaining political capital. The literature using the framework of the ‘protection economy’ highlights how organised criminal groups can provide protection services to civilians and other actors, including parties in conflict and the state, in exchange for payment. Along a spectrum of actors involved, criminals may also provide protection to those in power by supplying violent actors to eliminate rivals and critics. This entrepreneurial character highlights the marketable aspect of violence as a commodity (Shaw, 2016).

Territory control also plays a vital role in propelling violence. Organised criminal groups and other non-state armed actors often use violence to gain or maintain territorial control. Studies have pointed to the importance of territory in relation to strategic routes for trafficking or cultivation of illicit goods (Idler, 2020a). Where contracts are not legally enforceable and disputes over property rights or territory have no set process for resolving them, actors may engage in acts of extortion, intimidation, threat, and physical violence to protect illicit revenue or taxation schemes.

Finally, actors in conflicts who have interests in criminal markets, political institutions and financial gain, may resort to violence and conflict in order to protect these interests (Idler, 2020b, p. 5). They may deploy assassination to intimidate, silence, or eliminate their opposition as a way to demonstrate power and control over territory, people and communities (Shaw & Reitano, 2017). The use of symbolic killing has been a feature both in criminal and conflict-related settings.

### 2.1. The GI-TOC approach to track assassinations and contract killings: Methodology and definitions

Most of the literature on assassinations specially refers to the targeted killing of political figures, commonly referred to as ‘political assassinations’ (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021, p. 91). There is little literature on contract killings at the global scale and most existing studies on the topic focus on the issue of contract killings and the role of hitmen in a specific geographical region. There has been significant interest in understanding the figure of the hitman in the literature, as it is part of the nature of contract killing—that is
to say, a third party, usually a ‘hitman’ of varying levels of proficiency, is paid to do the work.  

Commissioning contract killings remains a common way of achieving political, economic, and personal gains and represents the commercialisation of targeted violence. This is because the illicit economy behind such assassinations can generate a resource pool for those who want to pursue a contract killing (Shaw & Thomas, 2016a). Thus, based on the existing literature and previous research on contract killings, the GI-TOC developed a methodology to track cases of such killings around the world.  

The GI-TOC research on assassination focuses on cases that are defined as ‘targeted contract killings’, which include actual, attempted and planned lethal attacks on individuals or small groups of individuals (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021, p. 31). This includes cases in which a third party is engaged to commit the murder in exchange for financial gain or other form of benefit (such as personal favours, political favours or a change in status in a criminal gang). The aim of the killing is to transform the status quo by eliminating the victim considered to be an ‘obstacle’ for the perpetrator's or contractor's political, economic, personal or organised-crime-related interest. For the purposes of this study, the terms ‘assassination’, ‘hit’, ‘targeted killing’ and ‘contract killing’ are used interchangeably.

By using a dedicated search string, and selected newspaper sources, cases are filtered from the Lexis Nexis platform and included in a database. The research team records information on perpetrators, victims, and dynamics of the killings, such as location, date, method and price tags.

**Figure 1: Methodology Overview of Assassinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Victim</th>
<th>Perpetrator</th>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Continent</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Name and perpetrator category</td>
<td>Method and weapon</td>
<td>Name of newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Target group</td>
<td>Mastermind category</td>
<td>Outside home/at home</td>
<td>Publication date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Outcome of attack</td>
<td>Money paid</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-national region</td>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>Motive</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific location</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

2 The GI-TOC has published extensively on targeted killings in African countries. See, for example, Thomas (2018, 2021) and Matfess (2018) or for other contexts, see MacIntyre et al. (2014), and Mouzos & Venditto (2003).

3 See Matfess (2018), Thomas (2021), Shaw & Skywalker (2016), Shaw & Thomas (2016), Cameron (2014), and Brolan et al. (2016).
Cases are recorded and analysed when individuals are targeted for their personal characteristics such as identity, position, or their work, and does not include attacks on random members of groups. Assassinations perpetrated by armed groups are included in the database if they meet the conditions established in the methodology, thus random attacks on civilians are excluded. Unsurprisingly, these assassinations embody the symbiotic relationship between crime and conflict: methods and motives used by different types of armed groups are very similar to those deployed by more classical mafia-style groups. Assassinations are strategically instrumental, for example, in the context of extortion and with the aim of spreading silence and fear.

Assassinations or contract killings are one of many ways organised criminal groups gain control of local communities—frightening the population, silencing opposition, and eroding the social fabric of the areas where they operate. By leveraging control through targeted killings, criminal networks seek to achieve a range of socio-economic and socio-political goals—they may expand into profitable trades or markets, gain footholds into public institutions, or aim to preserve the status quo. For example, specific research on assassinations conducted by the GI-TOC in South Africa showed that disturbing rates of contract killings were rooted in political motives, power struggles, and turf disputes throughout the taxi industry (Thomas, 2021).

As the literature on violence in crime-conflict settings and assassination shows, the use of targeted violence in Afghanistan as means of governance—using a political economy framework—deserves more attention. The following sections aim to shed some light on this under-researched topic.

### 2.2. Recent wave of targeted killings and violence in Afghanistan: Trends and figures

In Afghanistan, illicit markets underpin a significant sector of the economy, which generates both positive and negative outcomes. The illicit drug economy has provided rural populations with a reliable source of income through opium cultivation, while also compounding corruption at all levels of governance (Felbab-Brown, 2017). Nationally and to some extent regionally, the Taliban has used illicit markets as a way of consolidating control by taxing illicit activities (such as opium cultivation, drug trafficking and smuggling, and extraction of minerals) and diversifying their assets to consolidate their influence (Felbab-Brown, 2021).

Felbab-Brown (2021) argues that the extent of violence in illicit economies in Afghanistan depends on several factors—the state of the overall economy, the character of the illicit economy, the presence or absence of independent traffickers, and the government’s response to the illicit economy. The relationship between state-suppression efforts, both under the Taliban and NATO forces that supported the former Afghan national government, proved complicated at best, with unclear lines between licit and illicit actors and the drug economy more broadly. Far from weakening, let alone solving, the reliance on the drug economy, it has become more embedded in the country’s political economy following the overthrow of the Taliban in 2001—despite vast state efforts and resources being spent to tackle it.
Besides the drug trade, the evidence of violence in other illicit markets in Afghanistan is similarly blurred, characterised by a patchwork of ‘powerful groups’ whose coercive actions have been seen in sectors as diverse as human trafficking, commodity smuggling, and illegal mining (Felbab-Brown, 2020). These areas often converge, with instances of targeted violence reported during territorial disputes over areas of poppy cultivation, mining territories (United Nations Development Programme, 2020, p. 59) or to monopolise control of other areas of illicit activities. Similarly, threats of both real and perceived violence are often waged against victims of human trafficking and their families (International Organization for Migration, 2008, p. 21).

Furthermore, during escalating hostilities between 2020 and 2021, the country experienced a spike in targeted killings, which became the third leading cause of civilian casualties according to the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA). Civilian casualties increased by 47% from the first half of 2020—the highest levels since 2018. A total of 5,183 civilian casualties (1,659 killed and 3,524 injured) were recorded within the first six months of 2021 (United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, 2021b). Afghanistan was thus widely viewed as one of the world’s most dangerous places for exercising fundamental freedoms (Reporters Without Borders, 2021). The GI-TOC’s Global Assassination Monitor found that 18% of targeted killings recorded in Asia in 2019 and 2020 were in Afghanistan (Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime, 2020). The primary targets were media, including women, and community leaders and members and, along with activists, the staff of non-government organisations (NGOs), and doctors or health professionals (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021, p. 58).

The issue of attacks against civilians, specifically human rights defenders and media workers, is not new in the country’s ongoing conflict, but the changing nature in which these attacks were being perpetrated in the lead-up to the 2021 Taliban takeover raises significant cause for concern. First, it is important to note that these attacks were not carried out in the context of mass casualties—they were premeditated, planned attacks against civilians. UNAMA documented an increase of 45% of targeted killings defined as an ‘intentional, premediated and deliberated targeting of individuals with perpetrators remaining anonymous’ (UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, 2020). One feature that highlights this change of pattern is the use of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) attached to the victims’ vehicles (same method used by insurgents targeting US or Afghan government forces during the war) as well as the use of shootings by unknown gunmen. For example, between September 2020 and January 2021, six journalists were killed by firearms, while two other media workers were killed in attacks using IEDs attached to vehicles (UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, 2021a). Such attacks usually involve planning and surveillance of the victim, and the method focuses on inflicting damage on a specific victim rather than indiscriminate killing.

Second, the profile of victims of targeted killings in the country represented a ‘shift from targeted assaults on high-profile officials by the Taliban and other groups operating in the country toward civil society’s rank-and-file and security forces’ (Abed & Gibbons-Neff, 2021). Not only did media workers and human rights defenders risk becoming targets, but also community leaders, health workers, off-duty security personnel, judges, prosecutors and religious figures—a type of violence that some alleged to be reminiscent of the killings and disappearances of Afghans in Peshawar, Pakistan, that eventually led to a civil war in the 1990s. These killings also demonstrate the symbolic
nature of assassinating civil society members to achieve political, economic and/or criminal aims.

Third, while responsibility for these targeted attacks was largely unclaimed, there was a broad understanding that the Taliban bore responsibility for many of them (Sampaio & Jackson, 2021). The Head of the National Directorate of Security suggested in 2021 that 270 Taliban members were part of a special unit which orchestrated the killings (Abed & Gibbons-Neff, 2021). This use of targeted killings by the Taliban was reminiscent of what Sampaio and Jackson called ‘psychological warfare’, which centred on expanding territorial control by silencing members of civil society and eradicating those who would be most likely to be vocal in their opposition (Sampaio & Jackson, 2021).

The Taliban was not, however, likely to be the only actor involved in this wave of lethal and targeted violence. There were several media reports suggesting killings were perpetrated by political factions willing to take advantage of the chaos in the country to cover up the settling of scores. Moreover, the Islamic State affiliate operating in the country had its own logic for engaging in targeted killings (Abed & Gibbons-Neff, 2021). One Afghan general and military analyst suggested that ‘drug smugglers, land grabbers, corrupt officials and those against government reform plans are also behind these attacks’ as they would benefit from chaos and war. An October 2021 article published in *Foreign Policy* claimed that sources in Kabul said that ‘Taliban foot soldiers would kill on contract to earn cash as they are not being paid’, and that prices ranged from 5,000 dollars to kill and 2,000 dollars to kidnap (O’Donnell, 2021).

Decades of conflict, poverty, food insecurity, and severely limited economic opportunities have been further exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Negotiations for the withdrawal of US troops and the subsequent Taliban takeover in 2021 led to a notable increase in other forms of violent crimes, including muggings, armed robberies and kidnappings for ransom (O’Donnell, 2021). Media reports suggest that over 40 kidnappings of businessmen took place during the first two months of the Taliban takeover. Moreover, public displays of violence against alleged criminals seem widespread. Reports show that the Taliban publicly displayed the bodies of victims in the city of Herat.

The crime wave that primarily affected Kabul—but also spread to other cities—demonstrated that urban areas have become a stage for competition between various actors, including politicians, warlords, businessmen and criminal networks (Sampaio & Jackson, 2021). Much of the phenomenon is linked to widespread corruption which permeates the country’s institutions and private sector. An estimated 40% of public officials working in the investigation and prosecution of criminal offences are allegedly involved with the drugs trade. Another example derives from the pandemic. In 2020, Human Rights Watch highlighted cases of harassment of media workers who were reporting on the misuse of COVID-19 supplies. In one case, 32 ventilators meant for the treatment of COVID-19 patients were stolen and sold by corrupt government officials (Human Rights Watch, 2020).

One area of special concern is the acquisition of land, which has historically been a source of violent crimes in Afghanistan. UNAMA, for example, has stated that over 70% of all serious crimes, including homicide, were caused by land disputes in Afghanistan,
stemming from the inability of authorities to address land-grabbing and enforce land rights, leading to informal forms of dispute resolution (United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan, 2015).

Other forms of violence and human rights abuses were widely documented by human rights groups and international bodies.⁴ In January 2022, the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) issued a press release condemning the Taliban for attempting to ‘steadily erase women and girls from the public life’ (United Nations, 2022). It states that measures taken by the Taliban government, including the closure of service providers charged with responding to gender-based violence, has exposed women and girls across different sectors of society to increased risks of trafficking for the purposes of sexual exploitation, forced marriage and forced labour.

2.3. The added value in monitoring violence related to illicit economies in the case of Afghanistan

The recent trend of targeted killings, along with the rise of violent crime in Afghanistan, raise concerns about the country’s future. It also sheds light on how monitoring violent acts, in particular assassinations, may be used as a proxy to better understand existing and emerging illicit markets, as well as the criminal behaviour of actors operating in the country. Cases of targeted killings can illustrate the landscape of the illicit economy by identifying power brokers, criminal actors, their opposition, and the political or economic motivations propelling such violence. The existing research indicates that criminogenic environments — where power struggles, corruption, violence, and organised crime are present — are conducive to high concentrations of targeted killings (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021). This is particularly relevant for settings where there is evidence of illicit markets, armed conflict and organised crime, which seems to be the case in Afghanistan.

Based on GI-TOC’s experience in researching assassinations, the indicators found in the case of Afghanistan may justify the monitoring of violent acts, including killings, kidnappings, threats, and harassment, in a closed and systematic fashion. First, the profile of the victims illustrates the aims of violent actors in perpetrating violence. The overwhelming trend of targeting of civilian actors, in particular media workers and women, displays a change in tactics used by the actors involved and a shift towards the use of assassinations to enforce silence and spread fear among local community actors. A study that analysed the logic behind the killings of journalists by armed groups found that both ISIS and ETA (the terrorist Basque separatist organisation) would target journalists for ‘collaborating with enemies’ and ‘disseminating false information’ (Lopez, 2016). Disputes over criminal markets and for political control can lead to the killing of those who vocally oppose criminal activities or actors who are engaging in conflict. This exacerbates the impact of conflict on civil society and communities.

---

⁴ Human Rights Watch (2021) released a report on the summary execution or enforced disappearance of former Afghan officials, specifically in the provinces of Ghazni, Helmand, Kandahar and Kundu. In some instances, personal rivalries and grievances have played into some killings. Others were reportedly ordered by the Taliban units. In early 2022, the organisation documented violence against the LGBT community (Human Rights Watch, 2022).
Second, relevant indicators proposed by GI-TOC’s studies on assassination, such as the monitoring of methods and perpetrators of targeted killings, shed light on shifts in illicit and emerging markets. As mentioned above, the rise of targeted killings was accompanied by a change in method—supported by the use of gunmen and firearms for perpetrating the killings. Needless to say, the firearms market should not be overlooked. In some contexts, the use of firearms for violent crime might be linked to the widespread availability of these weapons, which is particularly relevant in the case of Afghanistan. According to the Global Organized Crime Index, illicit firearms are one of the most pervasive markets in the country (Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime, 2021). GI-TOC research has also found that the illicit arms trade serves to accelerate armed violence in conflict-crime dynamics (Walker & Restrepo, 2022).

The proposed method also affords insights on the type of perpetrators—the use of ‘gunmen’ and reports of Taliban foot soldiers being used to commit murders and kidnappings. This further raises concerns about the consolidation of the use of violence as a commodity, effectively its commercialisation (Shaw & Thomas, 2016b). The concept of violent entrepreneurs as a label for such actors might be useful in research on Afghanistan. The literature also suggests that ‘conventionally violent occupations such as the military can be fertile recruiting grounds for hitmen’ (Macintyre et al., 2014) and that the presence of illicit and violent economies can create a pool of hired assassins, as seen in the case of South Africa (Shaw & Thomas, 2016b).

GI-TOC research on assassinations highlights the importance of investigating the motives behind targeted killings to effectively address the root causes and impunity, particularly as the underlying economic conditions worsen. Shedding a light on motives can permit better understandings of the social and political eco-systems that lead to targeted killings; this may illustrate the actors involved and the interests that motivated their behaviour. Greater knowledge of the circumstances surrounding targeted killings may demonstrate the state of play in illicit economies—outlining links between criminal markets and groups, vested interests in political structures, and in illicit markets.

Changes in the levels of violence can also suggest a shift in operations geographically or expansion of operations to consolidate power over a territory. The surge of criminality in 2021 could encourage new markets and new hotspots of violence. Examples here could be expanded to include—albeit not exclusively—the extortion of business, land grabbing, and the mineral sector. As GI-TOC’s research on assassinations shows, ‘the phenomenon of contract killings commonly takes place in clusters, often linked to the existence of criminal markets or the potential of creating one. This, in combination with the presence of vulnerable target groups—mostly people opposing, uncovering, investigating, or standing in the way of illicit activities’ (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021, p. 17).

Hence, there is a need to identify the victims of targeted killings, what they were opposing or working on, the context in which the violent acts took place, and where these violent acts continue to happen. Delving into these questions might help to shed light on the current and developing criminal ecosystems in the country.
3. Conclusion

Although there is no simple solution for addressing illicit market violence in the context of instability and conflict, creating better evidence-based practice to understand violence as a commodity and instrument that actors use may help in creating tailored policy and legal responses. Targeted violence, in this case, is a proxy to gauge the dynamics within illicit markets and illustrate how they may shift in terms of geography, commodities and governance. It enables us to measure the dynamics of conflict and crime, understand the structure of illicit economies that incentivise and drive the use of violence, and identify the significance as well as the involvement of different actors (that is, state-embedded actors) in targeted killings.

The GI-TOC methodology on assassinations can serve as a framework to create indicators of illicit market violence that are particularly applicable to Afghanistan. Indicators could be used to examine previous cases of targeted killings, illustrate evolving trends, and closely monitor the current situation of assassinations in the country. Other violent acts, such as kidnappings, could supplement the monitoring of targeted killings to improve evaluations. The implications of violence following the 2021 Taliban takeover may be detrimental to communities. As highlighted by GI-TOC, the communities that live in contested areas have borne the highest toll of violence deriving from criminal governance (Walker & Restrepo, 2022, p. 74). Instability allows for illicit economies to increase their grip over communities—exploiting basic needs and increasing levels of violence, victimisation, and insecurity.

Admittedly, there are several limitations to the proposed methodology. Gathering information may be difficult as there is relatively little reporting (our preliminary findings in monitoring assassinations in 2021 suggest an initial drop following the Taliban takeover, which does not necessarily reflect the reality). Moreover, crime–conflict situations are characterised by inherent difficulties, such as in differentiating between political and criminal violence. The methodology will need to be revised and expanded to include expert consultations and interviews with relevant actors (such as former officials, journalists, community members and policy-makers) to develop a truly comprehensive understanding of present and evolving circumstances in Afghanistan.

Despite these shortcomings, a systematic and data-oriented analysis will certainly help in mapping the shifting paradigms of illicit economies. Effectively combatting crime hinges on the comprehensive understanding of the ecosystem which underpins criminal markets. In turn, this approach could support the creation of a mechanism geared towards promoting a safer environment for civil society actors and ultimately contribute to efforts to achieve stabilisation and development. Moreover, a mechanism informed by this methodology could support the creation of policies that seek to strengthen community resilience (for example, improving approaches that are gender and ethnically sensitive, based on the findings of the most targeted groups; creating tools for safely reporting on threats that help to identify illicit markets and organised crime groups of concern, and so on), security, transparency and oversight of political processes, and use evidence-based practices to hold the government, private companies and other actors accountable (Kaysser & Oliveira, 2021, p. 43).
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